arXiv:1511.04683v1 [math.SP] 15 Nov 2015

SPECTRAL AND SCATTERING THEORY FOR DIFFERENTIAL AND HANKEL OPERATORS

D. R. YAFAEV

Abstract. We consider a class of Hankel operators H realized in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ as integral operators with kernels $h(t+s)$ where $h(t) = P(\ln t)t^{-1}$ and $P(X) = X^n + p_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots$ is an arbitrary real polynomial of degree n. This class contains the classical Carleman operator when $n = 0$. We show that a Hankel operator H in this class can be reduced by an *explicit* unitary transformation (essentially by the Mellin transform) to a differential operator $A = vQ(D)v$ in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Here $Q(X) = X^n + q_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots$ is a polynomial determined by $P(X)$ and $v(\xi) = \pi^{1/2}(\cosh(\pi\xi))^{-1/2}$ is the universal function. Then the operator $A = vQ(D)v$ reduces by the generalized Liouville transform to the standard differential operator $B = D^n + b_{n-1}(x)D^{n-1} + \cdots + b_0(x)$ with the coefficients $b_m(x)$, $m = 0, \dots, n-1$, decaying sufficiently rapidly as $|x| \to \infty$. This allows us to use the results of spectral theory of differential operators for the study of spectral properties of generalized Carleman operators. In particular, we show that the absolutely continuous spectrum of H is simple and coincides with $\mathbb{R}$ if n is odd, and it has multiplicity 2 and coincides with $[0, \infty)$ if $n \geq 2$ is even. The singular continuous spectrum of H is empty, and its eigenvalues may accumulate to the point 0 only. As a by-product of our considerations, we develop spectral theory of a new class of *degenerate* differential operators $A = vQ(D)v$ where $Q(X)$ is an arbitrary real polynomial and $v(\xi)$ is a sufficiently arbitrary real function decaying at infinity.

1. Introduction

1.1. Hankel operators can be defined by the formula

$$(Hu)(t) = \int_0^\infty h(t+s)u(s)ds \tag{1.1}$$

in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$; thus integral kernels h of Hankel operators depend on the sum of variables only. We refer to the books [16, 17] for basic information on Hankel operators. Of course H is symmetric if $h(t) = \overline{h(t)}$.

The spectra of bounded self-adjoint Hankel operators were characterized in the fundamental paper [12]. It was shown in [12] that the spectrum of a Hankel operator

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34L10, 34L25, 47A40, 47B25, 47B35.

Key words and phrases. Generalized Carleman operators, Mellin transform, differential operators of arbitrary order, degeneracy of coefficients at infinity, absolutely continuous spectrum, asymptotic behavior of eigenfunctions.

contains the point zero, and if zero is an eigenvalue, then necessary it has infinite multiplicity. Moreover, the spectral multiplicities of the points λ and $-\lambda$ cannot differ by more than 2 and they cannot differ by more than 1 on the singular spectrum. Conversely, if the spectral measure and the multiplicity function of a self-adjoint operator H possess these properties, then H is unitarily equivalent to a Hankel operator.

Since this result applies to all self-adjoint Hankel operators, it does not allow one to find spectral properties of specific classes of Hankel operators. The cases where Hankel operators can be explicitly diagonalized are very scarce. The simplest and most important kernel $h(t) = t^{-1}$ was considered by T. Carleman in [4]. The eigenfunctions of the continuous spectrum $\theta(t,k)$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$, of this operator are given by the formula $\theta(t,k) = t^{-1/2+ik}$. They satisfy the equation $H\theta(k) = \lambda(k)\theta(k)$ with the dispersion relation $\lambda(k) = \pi\big(\cosh(\pi k)\big)^{-1}$. Thus the spectrum of H is absolutely continuous, it has multiplicity 2 and coincides with the interval $[0,\pi]$. It was pointed out by J. S. Howland in [9] that there is a somewhat mysterious affinity between Hankel and differential operators. In terms of this analogy, the Carleman operator plays the role of the operator D^2 in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

The results on the Carleman operator can be extended to more complicated kernels. We note the classical papers [13] by F. Mehler who considered the kernel $h(t) = (t+1)^{-1}$ and [11, 19] by W. Magnus and M. Rosenblum who considered the kernel $h(t) = t^{-1}e^{-t}$ (M. Rosenblum considered also more general kernels with the same singularity at $t = 0$). The corresponding Hankel operators H were diagonalized in terms of the Legendre and Whittaker functions, respectively. The spectrum of these operators is absolutely continuous, simple, and it coincides with the interval $[0,\pi]$. These results can be deduced from the fact that Hankel operators with such kernels commute with appropriate differential operators of second order; see [25] where some new examples are also considered.

Another generalization of the Carleman operator is given by the kernel $h(t) = t^{-q}$, $q > 0$. It was shown in [30] that, for $q \neq 1$, the spectrum of the corresponding Hankel operator H is absolutely continuous, it has constant multiplicity (presumably, it is simple) and coincides with the half-axis $[0,\infty)$. The approach of [30] relies only on the invariance property of H with respect to the group of the dilations $f(t) \mapsto \sqrt{\rho}f(\rho t)$, $\rho > 0$. So it does not give any information on the structure of eigenfunctions of the operator H.

We also note the paper [10] by V. Kostrykin and K. Makarov where it was shown that the Hankel operator H with kernel $h(t) = t^{-1}\sin t$ can be reduced by an appropriate unitary transformation to the orthogonal sum of two operators considered in [19]. The spectrum of H is simple, absolutely continuous and coincides with $[-\pi/2,\pi/2]$.

1.2. Our goal here is to study spectral properties of generalized Carleman operators with kernels

$$h(t) = P(\ln t)t^{-1} \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$P(X) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} p_m X^m \tag{1.3}$$

is an arbitrary real polynomial. Without loss of generality we suppose that $p_n = 1$. Obviously, kernels (1.2) have two singular points $t = \infty$ and $t = 0$. For $n \geq 1$, such Hankel operators are unbounded but are well defined as self-adjoint operators. A large part of our results on generalized Carleman operators can be summarized by the following assertion. Below we denote by $\langle x \rangle$ the operator of multiplication by the function $(1 + x^2)^{1/2}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let H be the self-adjoint Hankel operator defined by formula (1.1) where $h(t)$ is function (1.2) and $P(X)$ is a real polynomial (1.3) of degree $n \geq 1$. Then*

(i) *The spectrum of the operator H is absolutely continuous except eigenvalues that may accumulate to zero and infinity only.*

(ii) *The limiting absorption principle holds, that is, for any $\sigma > 1/2$, the operator-valued function*

$$\langle \ln t \rangle^{-\sigma} (H - z)^{-1} \langle \ln t \rangle^{-\sigma}, \quad \operatorname{Im} z \neq 0, \tag{1.4}$$

is Hölder continuous with exponent $\alpha < \sigma - 1/2$ (and $\alpha < 1$) up to the real axis, except the eigenvalues of the operator H and the point zero.

(iii) *The absolutely continuous spectrum of the operator H covers $\mathbb{R}$ and is simple for n odd. It coincides with $[0, \infty)$ and has multiplicity 2 for n even.*

(iv) *If n is odd, then the multiplicities of eigenvalues of the operator H are bounded by $(n-1)/2$. If n is even, then the multiplicities of positive eigenvalues are bounded by $n/2 - 1$, and the multiplicities of negative eigenvalues are bounded by $n/2$.*

Clearly, this assertion is similar in spirit to the corresponding results for differential operators of Schrödinger type (see Theorem 2.1, below). The difference, however, is that the weight is $\langle \ln t \rangle^{-\sigma}$ in (1.4) while it is $\langle x \rangle^{-\sigma}$ (also with $\sigma > 1/2$) for the resolvents of differential operators. Thus the power scale for differential operators corresponds to the logarithmic scale for Hankel operators. Note that for perturbations of the classical Carleman operator (when $h(t)$ is close to t^{-1}) the assertions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.1 were obtained in [27]; for such operators the absolutely continuous spectrum coincides with $[0, \pi)$ and has multiplicity 2.

The proof of the limiting absorption principle requires a study of eigenfunctions $\theta(t, k)$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$, of the continuous spectrum of the operator H. They satisfy the equation $H\theta(k) = \lambda\theta(k)$ where $\lambda = k^n$, and their precise definition (see Section 5) is similar to that for differential operators. We need a uniform (away from the eigenvalues of H and from the point $\lambda = 0$) estimate

$$|\theta(t, k)| \leq C t^{-1/2}$$

and a similar estimate on differences $\theta(t, k') - \theta(t, k)$.

Actually, for the proof of these estimates we establish a much stronger result finding the asymptotic behavior of $\theta(t,k)$ as $t\to\infty$ and as $t\to 0$. For the statement of the required result, we need to introduce the phase function $\omega(t,k)$, $t\in\mathbb{R}_+$. Its precise definition will be given in Section 6 by formulas (6.3) – (6.6). Here we note that $\omega(t,k)$ is determined by the coefficient p_{n-1} only and

$$\omega(t,k)=-n\pi^{-1}\ln t\big(\ln\big|\frac{\ln t}{k}\big|+O(1)\big) \tag{1.5}$$

as $t\to\infty$ and $t\to 0$. We prove that, for odd n,

$$\theta(t,k)=\sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi|k|}}t^{-1/2}\big(s(\lambda)e^{i\omega(t,k)}+e^{-i\omega(t,k)}+o(1)\big) \tag{1.6}$$

as $t\to\infty$ if $k>0$ and as $t\to 0$ if $k<0$. If $t\to\infty$ but $k<0$ or $t\to 0$ but $k>0$, then

$$\theta(t,k)=o(t^{-1/2}). \tag{1.7}$$

For even n, we prove that

$$\begin{cases} \theta(t,k)=\sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi k}}t^{-1/2}\big(s_{11}(\lambda)e^{i\omega(t,k)}+e^{-i\omega(t,k)}+o(1)\big), & t\to\infty,\\ \theta(t,k)=\sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi k}}t^{-1/2}\big(s_{21}(\lambda)e^{-i\omega(t,k)}+o(1)\big), & t\to 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

if $k>0$, and

$$\begin{cases} \theta(t,k)=\sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi|k|}}t^{-1/2}\big(s_{12}(\lambda)e^{i\omega(t,k)}+o(1)\big), & t\to\infty,\\ \theta(t,k)=\sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi|k|}}t^{-1/2}\big(e^{i\omega(t,k)}+s_{22}(\lambda)e^{-i\omega(t,k)}+o(1)\big), & t\to 0. \end{cases} \tag{1.9}$$

if $k<0$. Here $s(\lambda)$ and $s_{jl}(\lambda)$ are some numerical coefficients.

These formulas resemble of course asymptotic formulas for eigenfunctions of differential operators

$$B=D^n+\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}b_m(x)D^m,\qquad D=D_x=-id/dx, \tag{1.10}$$

in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with the coefficients $b_m(x)$, $m=0,1,\dots,n-1$, decaying sufficiently rapidly at infinity. The terms $t^{-1/2}e^{\pm i\omega(t,k)}$ play the role of the functions $e^{\pm ikx}$ for differential operators. They are also similar to asymptotic formulas of [27] for eigenfunctions of the perturbed Carleman operator; in this case $\omega(t,k)=k\ln t$ and $\lambda(k)=\pi(\cosh(\pi k))^{-1}$.

Relations (1.6), (1.7) show that, for n odd, a wave coming from zero (from infinity) cannot penetrate to infinity (zero) so that there is the complete reflection in this case. For n even, $s_{11}(\lambda)$ and $s_{22}(\lambda)$ in relations (1.8), (1.9) are naturally interpreted as the reflection coefficients while $s_{21}(\lambda)$ and $s_{12}(\lambda)$ are interpreted as the transmission coefficients. We show that $s_{21}(\lambda)=s_{12}(\lambda)$.

As could be expected the coefficients $s(\lambda)$ in (1.6) and $s_{jl}(\lambda)$ in (1.8), (1.9) are elements of appropriate scattering matrices $S(\lambda)$. They are unitary; in particular, $|s(\lambda)|=1$ in (1.6). However $S(\lambda)$ are the scattering matrices for some pair of auxiliary

differential operators and not for Hankel operators themselves. In fact, there is no "unperturbed" Hankel operator H_0 such that $S(\lambda)$ is the scattering matrix for the pair H_0, H.

1.3. Our approach relies on a reduction of Hankel operators with kernels (1.2), (1.3) to differential operators. It was shown in [28] that such Hankel operators are unitarily equivalent (essentially, by the Mellin transform) to the operators

$$A = vQ(D_\xi)v, \quad D_\xi = -id/d\xi, \tag{1.11}$$

in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Here v is the operator of multiplication by the universal function

$$v(\xi) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\cosh(\pi\xi)}} \tag{1.12}$$

and the real polynomial

$$Q(X) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} q_m X^m \tag{1.13}$$

is determined by $P(X)$. The polynomials $P(X)$ and $Q(X)$ have the same degree, and their coefficients are linked by an explicit formula (see formula (5.3) below); in particular, $q_n = 1$ if $p_n = 1$. If $n = 0$, then $Q(X) = P(X) = 1$ so that $A = v^2$. This yields the familiar diagonalization of the Carleman operator.

Actually, it was shown in [29, 31] that every Hankel operator is unitarily equivalent to the pseudodifferential operator (1.11) with the function $Q(X)$ determined by the kernel $h(t)$ and called the sign-function of H in [29]. This terminology is explained by the fact that a Hankel operator $H \geq 0$ if and only if the function $Q(X) \geq 0$. In general, $Q(X)$ is a distribution. So this paper is devoted to a study of the case when the sign-function is an arbitrary polynomial.

Observe that the highest order term of the operator A equals $a_n(\xi)D_\xi^n$ where $a_n(\xi) = v^2(\xi)$ tends to zero (exponentially) as $|\xi| \to \infty$. Apparently such differential operators were never studied before, and we are led to fill in this gap. Studying differential operators (1.11) we do not make specific assumption (1.12) and consider sufficiently arbitrary real functions $v(\xi)$ tending to zero as $|\xi| \to \infty$. The essential spectrum of differential operators (1.11) was described in [28] where it was shown that $\operatorname{spec}(A) = \mathbb{R}$ if n is odd, and $\operatorname{spec}_{\rm ess}(A) = [0, \infty)$ if n is even. The last result should be compared with the fact that $\operatorname{spec}_{\rm ess}(A) = [\min Q(X), \infty)$ if $v(\xi) = 1$. Thus, even in this relatively simple question, the degeneracy of $v(\xi)$ at infinity significantly changes spectral properties of differential operators A. Here we study the detailed spectral structure, in particular, the absolutely continuous spectrum, of differential operators (1.11) and hence of Hankel operators with kernels (1.2).

We show that differential operators (1.11) can be reduced by an explicit unitary transformation L (the generalized Liouville transformation) to standard differential operators. Set

$$(\mathrm{L}u)(\xi) = x'(\xi)^{1/2} u(x(\xi))$$

where the variables x and ξ are linked by the relation

$$x = x(\xi) = \int_0^\xi v(\eta)^{-2/n} d\eta$$

so that $x'(\xi) = v(\xi)^{-2/n}$. Then the operator $B = \mathrm{L}^* A \mathrm{L}$ is given by the formula (1.10). Our crucial observation is that the coefficients $b_m(x)$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, of this differential operator decay at infinity.

It is noteworthy that if $v(\xi)$ (for example, function (1.12)) tends to zero exponentially as $|\xi| \to \infty$, then the coefficients (except $b_{n-1}(x)$ which can be removed by a gauge transformation) of the operator B decay faster than $|x|^{-1}$ as $|x| \to \infty$. On the contrary, for slower decay of $v(\xi)$, the coefficients of the operator B decay slower or as $|x|^{-1}$. We say that in these cases the operator B has short-range or long-range coefficients, respectively. Thus, somewhat counter-intuitively, a stronger degeneracy of the operator A yields better properties of the operator B.

1.4. Let us briefly describe the structure of the paper. We first consider differential operators (1.10) with decaying coefficients $b_m(x)$. By a gauge transformation, we can obtain the operator B of the same structure but with $b_{n-1}(x) = 0$. Thus for $n = 1$, the operator B reduces to the operator D so that the problem is trivial. For $n = 2$, the spectral analysis of differential operators (1.10) is a very well developed machinery both for short- and long-range coefficients $b_m(x)$. As far as the case $n > 2$ is concerned, we note that the methods of functional analysis work equally well for all n. On the contrary, there is a substantial difference in application of specific methods of differential equations. This difference is particularly important in the long-range case and, for $n > 2$, we have to carry out an analysis which was not available in the literature. The cases of short- and long-range coefficients are considered in Sections 2 and 3, respectively.

In Section 4 we show that degenerate differential operators (1.11) can be reduced by the generalized Liouville transformation to operators (1.10) with the coefficients $b_m(x)$ decaying at infinity. This leads to new spectral results for operators (1.11). We emphasize that for function (1.12), this reduction together with the gauge transformation yields the operator B with short-range coefficients.

We return to Hankel operators H in Section 5. Here we proceed from the fact that Hankel operators with kernels (1.2) are unitarily equivalent to degenerate differential operators A given by (1.11). Therefore the results of Section 4 allow us to prove Theorem 1.1. Spectral results (i), (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 1.1 are direct consequences of the corresponding results for the operators A and hence of the same results for the differential operators B with short-range coefficients. On the contrary, the limiting absorption principle (statement (ii)) for the operator H does not follow from the corresponding statement for A. It requires estimates on eigenfunctions $\theta(t, k)$ of the operator H which are obtained as consequences of asymptotic formulas (1.6), (1.7) or (1.8), (1.9). These formulas are proven in Section 6 where the evolution operator e^{-iHT} is also studied for

$T \to \pm\infty$. This is technically the most difficult part of the paper. Finally, in Section 7, we study the asymptotic behavior of the unitary group e^{-iHT} as $T \to \pm\infty$.

xxxxxxxxx

1.5. Let us introduce some standard notation. We denote by Φ,

$$(\Phi f)(k) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-ixk} dx,$$

the Fourier transform. We often use the same notation for a function and the operator of multiplication by this function. We denote by $\mathsf{H}^k(\mathcal{J})$ the Sobolev space of functions defined on an interval $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{R}$; $C_0^k(\mathcal{J})$ is the class of k-times continuously differentiable functions with compact supports in $\mathcal{J}$. The letters c and C (sometimes with indices) denote various positive constants whose precise values are inessential.

2. Differential operators of arbitrary order

2.1. Here we consider differential operators B defined by equality (1.10). We always suppose that operators B are symmetric on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, that is,

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} b_m(x) D^m = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} D^m \overline{b_m(x)}$$

where the derivatives of $b_m(x)$ are understood in the sense of distributions. This leads to certain algebraic relations for the functions $b_m(x)$ which we assume to be satisfied; in particular, $b_{n-1}(x) = \overline{b_{n-1}(x)}$. If the coefficients $b_m(x)$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, are bounded, then the operator B is self-adjoint on the Sobolev class $\mathsf{H}^n(\mathbb{R})$. If, moreover, $b_m(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$, then the perturbation $V = B - B_0$ of the "free" operator $B_0 = D^n$ is compact relative to B_0. It follows that the essential spectra of the operators B_0 and B are the same. Thus the essential spectrum of the operator B coincides with $\mathbb{R}$ if n is odd and it coincides with $[0, \infty)$ if $n \geq 2$ is even.

Suppose now that the coefficients of the operator (1.10) are short-range. The following assertion contains basic results of spectral analysis of such differential operators.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that*

$$|b_m(x)| \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-\rho}, \quad \rho > 1, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \tag{2.1}$$

and let B be the self-adjoint operator defined by differential expression (1.10) *on the Sobolev class $\mathsf{H}^n(\mathbb{R})$. Then:*

(i) *The spectrum of the operator B is absolutely continuous except eigenvalues that may accumulate to zero and infinity only.*

(ii) *The limiting absorption principle holds, that is, for any $\sigma > 1/2$, the operator-valued function*

$$\langle x\rangle^{-\sigma} (B - z)^{-1} \langle x\rangle^{-\sigma}, \quad \operatorname{Im} z \neq 0,$$

is Hölder continuous with exponent $\alpha < \sigma - 1/2$ (and $\alpha < 1$) up to the real axis, except the eigenvalues of the operator B and the point zero.

(iii) *The absolutely continuous spectrum of the operator B covers $\mathbb{R}$ and is simple for n odd. It coincides with $[0,\infty)$ and has multiplicity 2 for n even.*

(iv) *If n is odd, then the multiplicities of eigenvalues are bounded by $(n-1)/2$. If n is even, then the multiplicities of positive eigenvalues are bounded by $n/2-1$, and the multiplicities of negative eigenvalues are bounded by $n/2$.*

Theorem 2.1 is a standard result of scattering theory for the operators B_0 and B. Below we describe briefly main steps of its proof relying on the smooth approach in abstract scattering theory. Note that for $n \geq 3$ specific methods of ordinary differential equations are not convenient so that the case $n \geq 3$ is closer to multidimensional problems than to operators (1.10) for $n = 2$. A proof of parts (i) and (ii) can also be obtained by the Mourre method which is discussed in Section 3. As far as the inverse scattering problem for differential operators of arbitrary order is concerned, we refer to the book [3].

The smooth approach requires a preliminary study of the operator $B_0 = D^n$. Its spectrum is absolutely continuous. For odd n, it is simple and coincides with $\mathbb{R}$. For even n, it has multiplicity two and coincides with $[0,\infty)$. Using the Fourier transform it is easy to calculate the integral kernel $R_0(x,y;z)$ of the resolvent $R_0(z) = (B_0 - z)^{-1}$, $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$, of the operator B_0. Let ζ_j, $j = 1,\dots,n$, be the solutions of the equation $\zeta^n = z$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_0(x,y;z) &= in^{-1} \sum_{\operatorname{Im}\zeta_j>0} \zeta_j^{-n+1} e^{i\zeta_j(x-y)}, \quad x \geq y, \\ R_0(x,y;z) &= -in^{-1} \sum_{\operatorname{Im}\zeta_j<0} \zeta_j^{-n+1} e^{i\zeta_j(x-y)}, \quad x \leq y. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Since the operator B_0 commutes (anticommutes) with the complex conjugation for even (odd) n, we have the identities

$$\begin{aligned} R_0(x,y;\bar{z}) &= \overline{R_0(x,y;z)}, \quad \text{even} \quad n, \\ R_0(x,y;\bar{z}) &= -\overline{R_0(x,y;-z)}, \quad \text{odd} \quad n, \end{aligned}$$

which follow also from explicit formulas (2.2). Obviously, for fixed x, y, the analytic function $R_0(x,y;z)$ of z, $\operatorname{Im} z \neq 0$, is continuous up to the real axis with exception of the point $z = 0$. Moreover, for even n, this function is actually analytic in the complex plane cut along $[0,\infty)$. Formulas (2.2) imply also that the operator-valued functions

$$\langle x\rangle^{-\sigma} D^p R_0(z) \langle x\rangle^{-\sigma}, \quad \sigma > 1/2, \quad p = 0,\dots,n-1, \tag{2.3}$$

of z possess the same properties of analyticity and continuity in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

To obtain similar information on the resolvent $R(z) = (B - z)^{-1}$ of the operator B, we regard the resolvent identity

$$R(z) = R_0(z) - R(z) V R_0(z), \quad \operatorname{Im} z \neq 0, \quad V = B - B_0, \tag{2.4}$$

as the Fredholm equation for $R(z)$. Put $G = \langle x\rangle^{-\rho/2}$, $G_0 = G^{-1}V$. Then it follows from (2.4) that

$$GD^pR(z)G = GD^pR_0(z)G\big(I + G_0R_0(z)G\big)^{-1}, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where the inverse operator in the right-hand side exists in view of the self-adjointness of the operator B. Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ for odd n and $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ for even n be the set of λ where at least one of two homogeneous equations

$$f + G_0R_0(\lambda \pm i0)Gf = 0$$

has a nontrivial solution $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. According to the analytic Fredholm alternative (see, e.g., [21], Theorem 1.8.2) the set $\mathcal{N}$ is closed and has the Lebesgue measure zero. On the complement of the set $\mathcal{N}$, the spectrum of the operator B is absolutely continuous and the operator-valued function $GD^pR(z)G$ is continuous (in the Hilbert-Schmidt norm) as z approaches the cut along the continuous spectrum.

The next step is to prove that $\mathcal{N}$ consists of eigenvalues of the operator B, and these eigenvalues may accumulate to the point zero only. In particular, the operator B does not have the singular continuous spectrum. For the proof, one can use the scheme of S. Agmon [1] which significantly simplifies (see [24]) in the one-dimensional case. Thus we obtain statements (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2.1. In fact, instead of (ii) we prove a slightly stronger assertion.

Proposition 2.2. *Under assumption* (2.1) *the operator-valued function*

$$\langle x\rangle^{-\sigma}D^p(B - z)^{-1}\langle x\rangle^{-\sigma}, \quad \operatorname{Im} z \neq 0, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad \sigma > 1/2,$$

is Hölder continuous with exponent $\alpha < \sigma - 1/2$ (and $\alpha < 1$) up to the real axis, except the eigenvalues of the operator B and the point zero.

2.2. Let us now discuss statement (iii). First, we briefly recall the definition of wave operators for a pair of self-adjoint operators B_0, B acting in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $P^{(ac)}$ be the orthogonal projection on the absolutely continuous subspace $\mathcal{H}^{(ac)}$ of the operator B, and let $B^{(ac)}$ be the restriction of B on $\mathcal{H}^{(ac)}$. Similar objects for the operator B_0 will be endowed with the index "0". The wave operators for a pair B_0, B and a bounded operator J ("identification") are defined as strong limits

$$W_\pm = W_\pm(B, B_0; J) = \operatorname*{s-lim}_{T\to\pm\infty} e^{iBT}Je^{-iB_0T}P_0^{(ac)}. \tag{2.5}$$

Under the assumption of their existence, the wave operators (2.5) enjoy the intertwining property $BW_\pm = W_\pm B_0$. We also consider the wave operators

$$W_\pm(B_0, B; J^*) = \operatorname*{s-lim}_{T\to\pm\infty} e^{iB_0T}J^*e^{-iBT}P^{(ac)} \tag{2.6}$$

for the pair B, B_0 and the "identification" J^*. If both limits (2.5) and (2.6) exist, then they are adjoint to each other.

Let I be the identity operator. In the important particular case $J = I$ the operator $W_\pm(B, B_0) := W_\pm(B, B_0; I)$ is isometric on $\mathcal{H}_0^{(ac)}$ and the existence of the wave operator $W_\pm(B_0, B)$ is equivalent to the relation

$$\operatorname{Ran}(W_\pm(B, B_0)) = \mathcal{H}^{(ac)}$$

known as the completeness of $W_\pm(B, B_0)$. This relation implies that the operators $B_0^{(ac)}$ and $B^{(ac)}$ are unitarily equivalent.

For the proof of the existence of limits (2.5) and (2.6), we rely on the T. Kato theory of smooth perturbations (see, e.g., §XIII.7.C of the book [18] or §4.5 of the book [21]). We use the following

Definition 2.3. A B-bounded operator G is called B-smooth (in the sense of Kato) if for some open set $\Omega \subset \operatorname{spec}(B)$ of full measure (that is, the Lebesgue measure $|\operatorname{spec}(B) \setminus \Omega| = 0$) and every compact interval $X \subset \Omega$, we have

$$\sup_{\lambda \in X, \varepsilon \neq 0} \|G\big(R(\lambda + i\varepsilon) - R(\lambda - i\varepsilon)\big)G^*\| < \infty. \tag{2.7}$$

In particular, condition (2.7) is satisfied if the operator-valued function $GR(z)G^*$, or more generally $(GR(i))R(z)(GR(i))^*$, is continuous as z approaches the set Ω (from the upper and lower half-planes). We need the following result.

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose that*

$$BJ - JB_0 = G^*G_0$$

where the operators G_0 and G are B_0- and B-smooth, respectively. Then the wave operators (2.5) *and* (2.6) *exist.*

Let us come back to differential operators $B_0 = D^n$ and B defined by formula (1.10). Now $J = I$, $P_0^{(ac)} = I$, $G = G^* = \langle x\rangle^{-\rho/2}$ and $G_0 = G^{-1}V$. It follows from the continuity of the operator-valued function (2.3) that the operator G_0 is B_0-smooth; the corresponding open set Ω_0 of full measure is $\mathbb{R}_+$ for n even and $\Omega_0 = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ for n odd. According to part (ii) of Theorem 2.1 the same result is true for the pair of the operators G and B; one only has to remove its point spectrum $\operatorname{spec}_p(B)$. Thus the operator G is B-smooth; the corresponding set Ω is given by the relations

$$\Omega = \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \operatorname{spec}_p(B) \text{ for } n \text{ even and } \Omega = \mathbb{R} \setminus (\{0\} \cup \operatorname{spec}_p(B)) \text{ for } n \text{ odd.} \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore Proposition 2.4 yields the following result.

Theorem 2.5. *Under the assumptions of Theorem* 2.1 *the wave operators $W_\pm = W_\pm(B, B_0)$ exist. They are isometric and complete, that is, their ranges $\operatorname{Ran}(W_\pm) = \mathcal{H}^{(ac)}$. The intertwining property $BW_\pm = W_\pm B_0$ holds. The operators $B^{(ac)}$ and B_0 are unitarily equivalent.*

Of course Theorem 2.5 implies that the absolutely continuous spectrum of the operator B is the same as that of B_0. This concludes the proof of part (iii) of Theorem 2.1.

Remark 2.6. The existence of the wave operators $W_\pm = W_\pm(B, B_0)$ is a simple result that does not require the theory of smooth perturbations. It can be obtained by an elementary Cook's method (see, e.g., §1.4 of the book [26]). The existence of $W_\pm$ entails that the restriction of B on the subspace $\mathrm{Ran}(W_\pm)$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator B_0. This fact can be combined with the Weyl-Titchmarsh-Kodaira theory (see, e.g., the books [5, 15]) which implies that the multiplicity of the spectrum of the operator B does not exceed n. For $n = 2$ (but not for larger n) this yields the completeness of the wave operators $W_\pm$.

Remark 2.7. The existence and completeness of $W_\pm$ are also consequences of the trace class scattering theory (see, e.g., Chapter 6 of [21]).

Finally, we discuss part (iv) of Theorem 2.1. It can be easily checked (see, e.g., [5, 24]) that for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ the differential equation $Bf = \lambda f$ has solutions f_j, $j = 1, \dots, n$, such that

$$f_j(x, \lambda) = e^{ik_j x}(1 + o(1)), \quad k_j^n = \lambda, \tag{2.9}$$

as $x \to +\infty$. These solutions are linearly independent and $f_j \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ if and only if $\operatorname{Im} \kappa_j > 0$. For n odd, the number of such κ_j equals $(n-1)/2$. For n even, $\operatorname{Im} \kappa_j > 0$ for $n/2-1$ values of j if $\lambda > 0$ and for $n/2$ values of j if $\lambda < 0$. So we obtain the upper bound on the multiplicities of eigenvalues stated in part (iv). This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Note that we have distinguished solutions $f_j(x, \lambda)$ by their asymptotics (2.9) for $x \to \infty$ only for definiteness. The same arguments work for $x \to -\infty$.

2.3. The construction of the wave operators is intimately related with eigenfunction expansions. This is the classical stuff for $n = 2$; we refer to the paper [7] by L. D. Faddeed or the book [26], Chapters 4 and 5. For all $n \geq 3$, the construction below is probably not explicitly written in the literature, but it is essentially the same as for the particular case $n = 4$ discussed in [24]. We note that in contrast to the case $n = 2$ when one can use Volterra integral equations, for $n \geq 3$ one is obliged to work with Fredholm equations. From this point of view, the case $n \geq 3$ is closer to multidimensional problems than to operators (1.10) for $n = 2$.

The "free" operator B_0 can of course be diagonalized by the Fourier transform Ψ_0,

$$(\Psi_0 f)(k) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} f(x) dx,$$

that is, $\Psi_0 B_0 = \Lambda \Psi_0$ where Λ is the operator of multiplication by the function k^n in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. It means that $\psi_0(x, k) = e^{ikx}$ where $k \in \mathbb{R}$ is a complete set of eigenfunctions of the operator B_0. Let us define eigenfunctions of the continuous spectrum of the operator B by the relation

$$\psi_\pm(k) = \psi_0(k) - R(k^n \mp i0) V \psi_0(k), \quad \lambda = k^n \in \Omega. \tag{2.10}$$

By virtue of the limiting absorption principle (Proposition 2.2) the right-hand side here is correctly defined and $\langle x\rangle^{-\sigma}D^p\psi_\pm \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for any $\sigma > 1/2$ and $p = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. The resolvent identity (2.4) implies that the functions $\psi_\pm(x,k)$ satisfy the Lippmann-Schwinger equation

$$\psi_\pm(k) = \psi_0(k) - R_0(k^n \mp i0)V\psi_\pm(k). \tag{2.11}$$

Since, by (2.2), the integral kernel of the operator $D^pR_0(k^n \mp i0)$ is a bounded function, we have the estimate

$$|(D^pR_0(k^n \mp i0)V\psi_\pm(k))(x)| \le C\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |(V\psi_\pm)(x,k)|dx \\ \le C\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \|b_m\langle x\rangle^{\rho/2}\|\|\langle x\rangle^{-\rho/2}D^m\psi_\pm(k)\|.$$

In view of (2.11), this yields the estimate

$$|D^p\psi_\pm(x,k)| \le C(k), \quad \lambda = k^n \in \Omega, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \tag{2.12}$$

According to (2.10) the functions $\psi_\pm(k)$ satisfy also the differential equation $B\psi_\pm(k) = k^n\psi_\pm(k)$, that is,

$$i^{-n}\psi_\pm^{(n)}(x,k) + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} i^{-m}b_m(x)\psi_\pm^{(m)}(x,k) = k^n\psi_\pm(x,k). \tag{2.13}$$

Of course for even n, the functions $\psi_\pm(x,k)$ and $\psi_\pm(x,-k)$ satisfy the same equation (2.13) while these equations are different if n is odd.

For the operator B, there exist two natural diagonalizing transformations denoted $\Psi_\pm$. They are constructed in terms of the functions $\psi_\pm(x,k)$ by the formula

$$(\Psi_\pm f)(k) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\psi_\pm(x,k)}f(x)dx, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \lambda = k^n \in \Omega, \tag{2.14}$$

(actually it suffices to assume that $\langle x\rangle^\sigma f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for some $\sigma > 1/2$). These mappings extend by continuity to bounded operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$; they satisfy the relation

$$\Psi_\pm\Psi_\pm^* = I, \quad \Psi_\pm^*\Psi_\pm = P^{(ac)} \tag{2.15}$$

and diagonalize B, that is,

$$\Psi_\pm B = \Lambda\Psi_\pm. \tag{2.16}$$

The wave operators $W_\pm = W_\pm(B, B_0)$ and the operators (2.14) are linked by the formula

$$W_\pm = \Psi_\pm^*\Psi_0. \tag{2.17}$$

It follows from formulas (2.15) and (2.16) that the spectral family $E(\lambda)$ of the operator B satisfies the identity

$$\frac{d(E(\lambda)f, f)}{d\lambda} = \sum_{k^n=\lambda} \Big|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\psi_\pm(x,k)}f(x)dx\Big|^2, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \lambda = k^n \in \Omega, \tag{2.18}$$

holds. Here the sum consists of the one term if n is odd; if n is even it consists of two terms for $\lambda > 0$, and it is empty for $\lambda < 0$.

The scattering operator

$$\mathcal{S} := W_+^* W_- \tag{2.19}$$

commutes with the operator B_0 and according to (2.17)

$$\Psi_0 \mathcal{S} \Psi_0^* = \Psi_+ \Psi_-^*. \tag{2.20}$$

Let us summarize the results described above.

Theorem 2.8. *Let estimates* (2.1) *be satisfied. Define the functions* $\psi_\pm(x,k)$ *by formula* (2.10) *and the mappings* $\Psi_\pm$ *by formula* (2.14). *Then the relations* (2.15), (2.16) *and* (2.17) *hold. The scattering operator* (2.19) *satisfies identity* (2.20). *It is a unitary operator.*

Recall that the operator (2.19) commutes with the operator B_0. Therefore, for odd n, the operator $\widehat{\mathcal{S}} = \Psi_0 \mathcal{S} \Psi_0^*$ acts in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ as the multiplication by the function $s(\lambda)$, that is,

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{S}} g)(k) = s(\lambda) g(k), \quad \lambda = k^n \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.21}$$

For even n, we set $(\mathrm{Y}g)(k) = (g(k), g(-k))^\top$, $k > 0$; obviously, the operator $\mathrm{Y} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ is unitary. The operator $\mathrm{Y}\widehat{\mathcal{S}}\mathrm{Y}^*$ acts in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ as the multiplication by the the matrix-valued function $S(\lambda)$, that is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\widehat{\mathcal{S}} g)(k) \\ (\widehat{\mathcal{S}} g)(-k) \end{pmatrix} = S(\lambda) \begin{pmatrix} g(k) \\ g(-k) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda = k^n \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}_+, \quad k > 0,$$

where

$$S(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11}(\lambda) & s_{12}(\lambda) \\ s_{21}(\lambda) & s_{22}(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.22}$$

is the 2×2 matrix. The scalar function $s(\lambda)$ for n odd and the matrix-valued function $S(\lambda)$ for n even are known as the scattering matrices. The scattering matrices are well defined for $\lambda \in \Omega$. They are unitary and depend continuously on $\lambda \in \Omega$.

It follows from formula (2.20) that $\Psi_+^* = \Psi_-^* \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*$. Therefore using definition (2.14) of the operators $\Psi_\pm$, we see that $\psi_+(x,k) = \overline{s(\lambda)} \psi_-(x,k)$ if n is odd and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi_+(x,k) \\ \psi_+(x,-k) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{s_{11}(\lambda)} & \overline{s_{12}(\lambda)} \\ \overline{s_{21}(\lambda)} & \overline{s_{22}(\lambda)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_-(x,k) \\ \psi_-(x,-k) \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.23}$$

if n is even and $k > 0$.

2.4. Let us find the asymptotic behavior of the functions $\psi_\pm(x,k)$ as $|x| \to \infty$. For definiteness, we choose $\psi_-(x,k) =: \psi(x,k)$. We proceed from the Lippman–Schwinger equation (2.11). There is a significant difference between the cases $n \le 2$ and $n > 2$. Consider the resolvent kernel (2.2) for $z = k^n + i0$. If $n \le 2$, then it consists of oscillating terms, but, for $n > 2$, additional decaying terms appear. We have to distinguish oscillating and decaying parts in the right-hand side of (2.11).

The decaying part $\psi_{\rm dec}(x,k)$ is defined by the formula

$$\psi_{\rm dec}(x,k) = in^{-1}\sum_{\operatorname{Im}\kappa_j>0}\kappa_j^{-n+1}\int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{i\kappa_j(x-y)}w(y,k)dy$$
$$-in^{-1}\sum_{\operatorname{Im}\kappa_j<0}\kappa_j^{-n+1}\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{i\kappa_j(y-x)}w(y,k)dy \tag{2.24}$$

where $\kappa_j^n = k^n$ and

$$w(x,k) = (V\psi(k))(x). \tag{2.25}$$

It follows from estimates (2.12) that

$$|w(x,k)| \le C(k)(1+|x|)^{-\rho}, \quad \rho>1, \quad \lambda = k^n \in \Omega. \tag{2.26}$$

Lemma 2.9. *Function* (2.24) *satisfies the condition*

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty}|\psi_{\rm dec}(x,k)| = 0.$$

Proof. Consider, for example, one of the integrals in the first sum in (2.24). Obviously, it tends to 0 as $x\to-\infty$ because $w\in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $e^{\operatorname{Im}\kappa_j(y-x)}\le 1$. If $x\to+\infty$, then we use the estimate

$$\Big|\int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{i\kappa_j(x-y)}w(y,k)dy\Big| \le e^{-\operatorname{Im}\kappa_j x/2}\int_{-\infty}^{x/2}|w(y,k)|dy + \int_{x/2}^{x}|w(y,k)|dy, \quad \operatorname{Im}\kappa_j>0, \tag{2.27}$$

where both terms on the right tend to zero. □

To define the oscillating part $\psi_{\rm osc}(x,k)$ of $\psi(x,k)$, we introduce the function

$$r(x,k) = 1 - in^{-1}k^{-n+1}\int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-iky}w(y,k)dy \tag{2.28}$$

if n is odd. If n is even, we introduce two functions

$$r_+(x,k) = 1 - in^{-1}k^{-n+1}\int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-iky}w(y,k)dy, \quad k>0,$$
$$r_+(x,k) = 1 + in^{-1}k^{-n+1}\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-iky}w(y,k)dy, \quad k<0, \tag{2.29}$$

and

$$r_-(x,k) = -in^{-1}k^{-n+1}\int_{x}^{\infty} e^{iky}w(y,k)dy, \quad k>0,$$
$$r_-(x,k) = in^{-1}k^{-n+1}\int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{iky}w(y,k)dy, \quad k<0. \tag{2.30}$$

In all these formulas w is function (2.25). Now we set

$$\psi_{\rm osc}(x,k) = e^{ikx}r(x,k) \quad \text{for odd} \quad n, \tag{2.31}$$

$$\psi_{\rm osc}(x,k) = e^{ikx} r_+(x,k) + e^{-ikx} r_-(x,k) \quad \text{for even} \quad n. \tag{2.32}$$

With these definitions, it follows from formulas (2.2) and the Lippman–Schwinger equation (2.11) that

$$\psi(x,k) = \psi_{\rm osc}(x,k) + \psi_{\rm dec}(x,k). \tag{2.33}$$

Since $w \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, the functions $r(x,k)$ and $r_\pm(x,k)$ have finite limits as $x \to \infty$ and as $x \to -\infty$. Moreover, we have $r(-\infty,k) = 1$ for n odd and

$$\begin{aligned} r_+(-\infty,k) = 1, \quad r_-(+\infty,k) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad k > 0, \\ r_+(+\infty,k) = 1, \quad r_-(-\infty,k) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad k < 0, \end{aligned}$$

for n even. Let as usual $\lambda = k^n$. We set

$$s(\lambda) = r(+\infty,k) \tag{2.34}$$

for odd n and

$$\begin{aligned} s_{11}(\lambda) = r_+(+\infty,k), \quad s_{21}(\lambda) = r_-(-\infty,k), \quad k > 0, \\ s_{12}(\lambda) = r_-(+\infty,k), \quad s_{22}(\lambda) = r_+(-\infty,k), \quad k < 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

for even n.

According to Lemma 2.9 the function $\psi_{\rm dec}(x,k)$ does not contribute to the asymptotics of $\psi(x,k)$. Therefore representations (2.31), (2.32) and (2.33) yield the following result.

Theorem 2.10. *Let estimates* (2.1) *be satisfied. Then the functions* $\psi(x,k) = \psi_-(x,k)$ *defined by formula* (2.10) *satisfy the differential equation* (2.13) *and have the following asymptotic behavior as* $|x| \to \infty$*:*

(i) *If* n *is odd, then*

$$\begin{cases} \psi(x,k) = e^{ikx} + o(1), & x \to -\infty, \\ \psi(x,k) = s(\lambda) e^{ikx} + o(1), & x \to \infty, \end{cases} \tag{2.36}$$

(ii) *If* n *is even, then*

$$\begin{cases} \psi(x,k) = s_{11}(\lambda) e^{ikx} + o(1), & x \to \infty, \\ \psi(x,k) = e^{ikx} + s_{21}(\lambda) e^{-ikx} + o(1), & x \to -\infty, \end{cases} \tag{2.37}$$

for $k > 0$ *and*

$$\begin{cases} \psi(x,k) = e^{ikx} + s_{12}(\lambda) e^{-ikx} + o(1), & x \to \infty, \\ \psi(x,k) = s_{22}(\lambda) e^{ikx} + o(1), & x \to -\infty, \end{cases} \tag{2.38}$$

for $k < 0$.

Note that, for odd n, expression (2.28), (2.34) for $s(\lambda)$ is the standard stationary representation of the scattering matrix. Therefore the asymptotic coefficient $s(\lambda)$ in (2.36) coincides with $s(\lambda)$ defined by (2.21). Similarly, for even n, the stationary representation of the scattering matrix is given by formulas (2.29), (2.30) and (2.35). Therefore the asymptotic coefficients $s_{j\ell}(\lambda)$ in (2.37) and (2.38) coincide with the entries of the scattering matrix (2.22).

Similarly to the operators of second order, the solution $\psi(x,k)$ of differential equation (2.13) describes the plane wave coming from minus infinity if $k>0$ (from plus infinity if $k<0$). If n is even, then the numbers $s_{11}(\lambda)$ and $s_{21}(\lambda)$ in (2.37) (the numbers $s_{22}(\lambda)$ and $s_{12}(\lambda)$ in (2.38)) are interpreted as the corresponding transmission and reflection coefficients. If n is odd, then according to (2.36) the reflection coefficients are zeros.

Putting together Theorem 2.10 with formula (2.23), we find also the asymptotics of the functions $\psi_+(x,k)$ as $x\to\infty$ and as $x\to-\infty$.

2.5. Let us specially discuss a particular case when the coefficient $b_{n-1}(x)$ is long-range while other coefficients $b_1(x),\dots,b_{n-2}(x)$ are short-range. Recall that, by a gauge transformation, one can get rid of the term $b_{n-1}(x)D^{n-1}$ in (1.10). Indeed, let

$$(\mathcal{J}f)(x)=e^{i\beta(x)}f(x) \tag{2.39}$$

where

$$\beta(x)=-\frac{1}{n}\int_0^x b_{n-1}(y)dy. \tag{2.40}$$

Since $D\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{J}(D+\beta')$, the operator

$$\widetilde{B}=\mathcal{J}^*B\mathcal{J} \tag{2.41}$$

has again the form (1.10) with $\widetilde{b}_{n-1}(x)=0$. Moreover, the coefficients $\widetilde{b}_m(x)$, $m=0,\dots,n-2$, of the operator $\widetilde{B}$ are short range if this is true for $b_{n-1}^2(x)$ and the derivatives of $b_{n-1}(x)$. In this case we can directly apply the results of subs. 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4 to the operator $\widetilde{B}$ and then carry over these results to the operator B. Indeed, it follows from definition (2.5) and relation (2.41) that the wave operators

$$W_\pm:=W_\pm(B,B_0;\mathcal{J})=\mathcal{J}W_\pm(\widetilde{B},B_0),\quad B_0=D^n, \tag{2.42}$$

exist. For the corresponding scattering operators, we have the identity

$$\mathcal{S}:=W_+(B,B_0;\mathcal{J})^*W_-(B,B_0;\mathcal{J})=W_+(\widetilde{B},B_0)^*W_-(\widetilde{B},B_0). \tag{2.43}$$

Thus the scattering matrices for the pair B_0, $\widetilde{B}$ and the triple B_0, B, $\mathcal{J}$ are the same.

In view of (2.10) the eigenfunctions of the operator $\widetilde{B}$ are defined by the equation

$$\widetilde{\psi}_\pm(k)=\psi_0(k)-\widetilde{R}(k^n\mp i0)(\widetilde{B}-B_0)\psi_0(k),\quad \lambda=k^n\in\Omega, \tag{2.44}$$

where $\widetilde{R}(z)=(\widetilde{B}-z)^{-1}$. According to (2.39), (2.41) it is natural to define eigenfunctions of the operator B by the relation

$$\psi_\pm(x,k)=e^{i\beta(x)}\widetilde{\psi}_\pm(x,k). \tag{2.45}$$

This leads to the following result.

Proposition 2.11. *Let the operator B be given by formula* (1.10). *Assume that estimates* (2.1) *with some $\rho>1$ are true for $m=0,\dots,n-2$. With respect to the coefficient $b_{n-1}(x)$, we assume that $b_{n-1}\in C^{n-1}$, $b_{n-1}(x)=O(|x|^{-\rho/2})$ and $b_{n-1}^{(p)}(x)=O(|x|^{-\rho})$ for $p=1,\dots,n-1$. Then:*

(i) *All conclusions of Theorem* 2.1 *for the operator* B *are true.*
(ii) *If* $\mathcal{J}$ *is defined by* (2.39), (2.40), *then the wave operators* $W_\pm(B, B_0; \mathcal{J})$ *exist. Moreover, if* $\widetilde{B}$ *is defined by* (2.41), *then the scattering matrices for the pair* B_0, $\widetilde{B}$ *and the triple* B_0, B, $\mathcal{J}$ *are the same.*
(iii) *The functions* $\psi_\pm(x,k)$ *defined by* (2.45) *satisfy differential equation* (2.13) *and their asymptotic behavior as* $|x| \to \infty$ *is determined by formulas* (2.36) (*for* n *odd*) *and* (2.37), (2.38) (*for* n *even*) *where the right-hand sides acquire the additional factor* $e^{i\beta(x)}$. *Relation* (2.18) *remains also true.*

2.6. In view of our applications to Hankel operators, let us finally discuss the case of the coefficients satisfying the condition

$$b_m(x) = \overline{b_m(-x)}, \quad m = 0, \dots, n-1. \tag{2.46}$$

Then the operator B commutes with the antilinear involution C defined by the relation

$$(\mathsf{C}f)(x) = \overline{f(-x)}. \tag{2.47}$$

In this case the integral kernel of the resolvent $R(z) = (B - z)^{-1}$ satisfies the identity $R(x, y; z) = R(-y, -x; z)$. Let the operators $\mathcal{J}$, $W_\pm$ and $\mathcal{S}$ be defined by equalities (2.39), (2.42) and (2.43), respectively. Since $\mathsf{C}\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}\mathsf{C}$, $\mathsf{C}\mathcal{J}^* = \mathcal{J}^*\mathsf{C}$ and $\mathsf{C}e^{iBT} = e^{-iBT}\mathsf{C}$, we see that $\mathsf{C}W_\pm = W_\pm\mathsf{C}$, $\mathsf{C}W_\pm^* = W_\pm^*\mathsf{C}$ and therefore

$$\mathsf{C}\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^*\mathsf{C}. \tag{2.48}$$

Obviously, $\Phi\mathsf{C}\Phi^* = \mathcal{C}$ is the complex conjugation, that is, $(\mathcal{C}g)(k) = \overline{g(k)}$. Therefore the identity (2.48) implies that $\mathcal{C}\widehat{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{C} = \widehat{\mathcal{S}}^*$. In terms of the scattering matrices this result can be reformulated in the following form.

Proposition 2.12. *Let the conditions of Theorem* 2.1 *or of Proposition* 2.11 *be satisfied. Under assumption* (2.46), *we have the identity*

$$\overline{S(\lambda)} = S(\lambda)^*. \tag{2.49}$$

For n odd, the scattering matrix is a scalar function $s(\lambda)$ so that this identity only means that $|s(\lambda)| = 1$, and hence it does not impose any additional restrictions on $s(\lambda)$. On the contrary, for n even, the identity (2.49) implies that $s_{12}(\lambda) = s_{21}(\lambda)$, that is, the right and left reflection coefficients coincide. This result may be compared with the fact that for the Schrödinger operator with a real potential, the transmission coefficients coincide, that is, $s_{11}(\lambda) = s_{22}(\lambda)$ (the paper [7] by L. D. Faddeed or the book [26], §5.1).

3. Long-range perturbations

3.1. Let us now consider the operator B defined by formula (1.10) where the coefficients $b_m(x)$ are long-range. To be precise, we suppose that

$$|b_m^{(p)}(x)| \le C_p(1 + |x|)^{-\rho-p}, \quad \rho > 0, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \tag{3.1}$$

for all $p = 0, 1, \dots$ although this condition for some finite number (depending on ρ) of p is sufficient. The number ρ may be arbitrary small. Thus the functions $b_m(x)$ decay at infinity but perhaps very slowly. Nevertheless we have the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Under assumption* (3.1) *all conclusions* $(i), (ii), (iii)$ *and* (iv) *of Theorem* 2.1 *are true.*

In the long-range case the method exposed in subs. 2.1 and 2.2 and relying on the resolvent equation (2.4) is no longer applicable. However, similarly to second order differential operators B, assertions (i) and (ii) can be proven by using the Mourre method [14].

We recall it here very briefly. Let $\mathbb{D} = xD + Dx$, and let as before $B_0 = D^n$, $V = B - B_0$. The Mourre method [14] relies on the commutation relation

$$i[B_0, \mathbb{D}] := iB_0\mathbb{D} - i\mathbb{D}B_0 = 2nB_0.$$

The commutator $[V, \mathbb{D}]$ is a differential operator of order $n-1$ with coefficients decaying at infinity. This allows one to estimate the commutator $i[B, \mathbb{D}]$ from below. Let $E(X)$ be the spectral projector of the operator B corresponding to a set $X \subset \mathbb{R}$. If $\lambda \neq 0$ is not an eigenvalue of the operator B, then for a sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ and $X = (\lambda-\delta, \lambda+\delta)$ the Mourre estimate

$$iE(X)[B, \mathbb{D}]E(X) \geq cE(X), \quad c = c(\lambda) > 0,$$

holds.

To deduce from this estimate the assertions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.1, one needs to consider the second commutator

$$[[B, \mathbb{D}], \mathbb{D}] = -4n^2B_0 + [[V, \mathbb{D}], \mathbb{D}]$$

where again $[[V, \mathbb{D}], \mathbb{D}]$ is a differential operator of order $n-1$ with coefficients decaying at infinity. It follows that the operator $[[B, \mathbb{D}], \mathbb{D}](B_0 + i)^{-1}$ is bounded. As shown by E. Mourre, this fact is sufficient for the proof of the assertions (i) and (ii). Its presentation can be found in various books; see, e.g., Chapter 4 of [6], Chapter 7 of [2] or §6.9 of [26].

We note that the Mourre method applies also in the short-range case.

3.2. Similarly to the short-range case, the proof of assertion (iii) can be obtained by tools of the scattering theory. However for long-range coefficients $b_m(x)$, the operator B cannot be considered as a perturbation of B_0. In particular, this means that the approximation as $T \to \infty$ of $\exp(-iBT)$ by the free evolution $\exp(-iB_0T)$ is not sufficient or, to put it differently, the usual wave operators $W_\pm(B, B_0)$ for the pair B_0, B do not exist. Therefore we have to replace them by more general wave operators (2.5) where the identification J takes into account the behavior of the coefficients $b_m(x)$ of B as $|x| \to \infty$. We choose J as a pseudodifferential operator whose symbol depends on the coefficients of the operator B. We follow here a very simplified version of the scheme suggested in [22] for the multidimensional Schrödinger operator.

We seek J as a pseudodifferential operator

$$(Jf)(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\phi(x,k)} \chi(k^n) \hat{f}(k) dk \tag{3.2}$$

where $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$,

$$\phi(x,k) = xk + \vartheta(x,k) \tag{3.3}$$

and $\vartheta(x,k) = o(x)$ as $|x| \to \infty$. The function $\vartheta(x,k)$ is introduced to handle the slow decay of the coefficients $b_m(x)$ at infinity. The auxiliary function χ allows us to neglect high and low energies. Now the "perturbation" $\mathbf{V} := BJ - JB_0$ is given by the formula

$$(\mathbf{V}f)(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixk} e^{i\vartheta(x,k)} \mathbf{v}(x,k) \chi(k^n) \hat{f}(k) dk \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\mathbf{v}(x,k) = e^{-i\phi(x,k)} (D^n + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} b_m(x) D^m - k^n) e^{i\phi(x,k)}. \tag{3.5}$$

We have to construct a function $\vartheta(x,k)$ such that

$$\vartheta^{(l)}(x,k) = O(|x|^{1-l-\rho}), \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x,k) = O(|x|^{-1-l-\rho}), \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{3.7}$$

as $|x| \to \infty$ uniformly in k on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. We also require that the same estimates (3.6) and (3.7) are true for all derivatives of $\vartheta^{(l)}(x,k)$ and $\mathbf{v}^{(l)}(x,k)$ in k. A function $\mathbf{v}(x,k)$ satisfying these conditions will be called short-range. Condition (3.6) means that the function (3.3) is close to the linear function xk as $|x| \to \infty$.

Suppose that $\vartheta(x,k)$ satisfies condition (3.6), and let $\phi(x,k)$ be defined by (3.3). Then for all m we have

$$e^{-i\phi(x,k)} D^m e^{i\phi(x,k)} = \big(k + \sigma(x,k)\big)^m + \tau_m(x,k)$$

where $\sigma(x,k) = \vartheta'(x,k)$ and $\tau_m(x,k)$ is a short-range function. Substituting this expression into (3.5), we see that, up to short-range terms,

$$\mathbf{v}(x,k) = nk^{n-1}\sigma(x,k) + \sum_{m=2}^{n} \tbinom{n}{m} \sigma(x,k)^m k^{n-m} + \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} b_m(x)(k + \sigma(x,k))^m. \tag{3.8}$$

Let us construct an approximate solution of the equation $\mathbf{v}(x,k) = 0$ for $\sigma(x,k)$ by iterations. We set $\sigma_0(x,k) = 0$,

$$nk^{n-1}\sigma_1(x,k) = -\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} b_m(x) k^m \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$nk^{n-1}\sigma_{j+1}(x,k) = -\sum_{p=2}^{n}\tbinom{n}{p}\sigma_j(x,k)^p k^{n-p} - \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} b_m(x)(k+\sigma_j(x,k))^m \tag{3.10}$$

for all $j \geq 1$. Let $\sigma(x,k) = \sigma_j(x,k)$ in (3.8). Then

$$\mathbf{v}(x,k) = nk^{n-1}\big(\sigma_j(x,k) - \sigma_{j+1}(x,k)\big). \tag{3.11}$$

We will check that

$$\sigma_j^{(l)}(x,k) - \sigma_{j-1}^{(l)}(x,k) = O(|x|^{-l-j\rho}) \tag{3.12}$$

and hence

$$\sigma_j^{(l)}(x,k) = O(|x|^{-l-\rho}) \tag{3.13}$$

for all $j = 1, 2, \dots$ and $l = 0, 1, \dots$. For $j = 1$, (3.12) is a direct consequence of definition (3.9) and assumption (3.1). Let us justify the passage from j to $j+1$. It follows from (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} nk^{n-1}(\sigma_{j+1}(x,k) - \sigma_j(x,k)) = &-\sum_{p=2}^{n}\tbinom{n}{p}\big(\sigma_j(x,k)^p - \sigma_{j-1}(x,k)^p\big)k^{n-p} \\ &- \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} b_m(x)\big((k+\sigma_j(x,k))^m - (k+\sigma_{j-1}(x,k))^m\big) \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$\big(k+\sigma_j(x,k)\big)^m - \big(k+\sigma_{j-1}(x,k)\big)^m = \sum_{p=1}^{m}\tbinom{m}{p}\big(\sigma_j(x,k)^p - \sigma_{j-1}(x,k)^p\big)k^{m-p}. \tag{3.15}$$

Using (3.12) and (3.13) we see that for all p

$$D^l\big(\sigma_j(x,k)^p - \sigma_{j-1}(x,k)^p\big) = O(|x|^{-l-(j+p-1)\rho})$$

Substituting these estimates into the right-hand sides of (3.14) and (3.15) and taking into account condition (3.1) on the coefficients $b_m(x)$, we get estimate (3.12) with j replaced by $j+1$.

The following result is a direct consequence of estimates (3.12), (3.13) and representation (3.11) for $\mathbf{v}(x,k)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let the assumption* (3.1) *with* $\rho \in (0,1]$ *hold. Set* $\sigma(x,k) = \sigma_j(x,k)$ *where* $j > \rho^{-1}$. *Then the function*

$$\vartheta(x,k) = \int_0^x \sigma(y,k)dy.$$

satisfies condition (3.6). *Let the functions* $\phi(x,k)$ *and* $\mathbf{v}(x,k)$ *be defined by formulas* (3.3) *and* (3.5). *Then estimates* (3.7) *hold.*

3.3. The operator J defined by formulas (3.2), (3.3) is a pseudodifferential operator with symbol

$$\mathbf{j}(x,k) = e^{i\vartheta(x,k)}\chi(k^n).$$

Here and below $\vartheta(x,k)$ is the function constructed in Lemma 3.2.

Recall that the Hörmander class $\mathcal{S}^m_{\rho,\delta}$, $\rho \in [0,1], \delta \in [0,1]$, of symbols $\mathbf{a}(x,k)$ compactly supported in k is distinguished by estimates

$$|(\partial_x^\alpha \partial_k^\beta \mathbf{a})(x,k)| \le C_{\alpha,\beta}(1+|x|)^{m-\alpha\rho+\beta\delta}, \quad \alpha,\beta = 0,1,\dots. \tag{3.16}$$

Pseudodifferential calculus (see the books [8, 20]) works smoothly in this class if $\rho > 1/2 > \delta$. Otherwise, a specific study is required. It follows from estimates (3.6) that $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{S}^0_{\rho,1-\rho}$. Therefore for $\rho > 1/2$, the next result is a consequence of general results on pseudodifferential operators. On the contrary, for $\rho \le 1/2$ the oscillating nature of symbol (3.16) has to be taken into account (see Theorems 2.12 and 2.13 in [23]).

Lemma 3.3. *Under the assumption* (3.1) *the operator J defined by formulas* (3.2), (3.3) *is bounded. The operators $J^*J - \chi(B_0)^2$ and $JJ^* - \chi(B_0)^2$ are compact.*

Now we are in a position to prove the existence of the wave operators (2.5) and (2.6). Relation (3.4) means that the perturbation $\mathbf{V}$ is a pseudodifferential operator with symbol

$$\mathsf{v}(x,k) = e^{i\vartheta(x,k)}\mathbf{v}(x,k)\chi(k^n).$$

According to Lemma 3.2 we have $\mathsf{v} \in \mathcal{S}^{-1-\rho}_{\rho,1-\rho}$ which directly implies that the operator $\langle x\rangle^\sigma(BJ - JB_0)\langle x\rangle^\sigma$ is bounded if $\sigma = (1+\rho)/2$. By part (ii) of Theorem 3.1 the operator $\langle x\rangle^{-\sigma}$ is B_0- and B- smooth in the sense of Definition 2.3. Therefore the next assertion is a consequence of Proposition 2.4.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $B_0 = D^n$, let B be the operator* (1.10), *and let the operator J be defined by formulas* (3.2), (3.3). *Then under the assumption* (3.1) *the wave operators* (2.5) *and* (2.6) *exist.*

Put $W_\pm = W_\pm(B, B_0; J)$. Lemma 3.3 ensures that

$$W_\pm^* W_\pm = \chi(B_0)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad W_\pm W_\pm^* = \chi(B)^2. \tag{3.17}$$

Recall that the open set Ω was defined by relations (2.8). Let $X \subset \Omega$ be a compact interval, and let $E_0(X)$, $E(X)$ be the spectral projectors of the operators B_0, B. Choose a function $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\})$ such that $\chi(\lambda) = 1$ for $\lambda \in X$. Then it follows from (3.17) that for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\|W_\pm E_0(X)f\| = \|E_0(X)f\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|W_\pm^* E(X)f\| = \|E(X)f\|.$$

Using that $W_\pm B_0 = BW_\pm$, we see that the operators $B_0E_0(X)$ and $BE(X)$ are unitary equivalent. Since X is arbitrary, this implies the unitary equivalence of the operators B_0 and $B^{(ac)}$ and concludes the proof of statement (iii) of Theorem 3.1.

The proof of statement (iv) is practically the same as in the short-range case. The only difference is that we now have to replace the phase $k_j x$ in (2.9) by a more general phase function with the same asymptotic behavior at infinity.

3.4. Theorem 3.4 allows us to find the asymptotics of $\exp(-iBT)f$ as $T \to \pm\infty$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^{(ac)}$. Let again $X \subset \Omega$ be a compact interval and $f = E(X)f$. Since

$$f = W_\pm(B, B_0; J) f_0^{(\pm)} \quad \text{where} \quad f_0^{(\pm)} = W_\pm(B_0, B; J^*) f, \tag{3.18}$$

it follows from definition (2.5) that

$$\lim_{T \to \pm\infty} \| \exp(-iBT)f - J \exp(-iB_0 T) f_0^{(\pm)} \| = 0. \tag{3.19}$$

According to (3.2) we have

$$(J \exp(-iB_0 T) f_0^{(\pm)})(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\phi(x,k)} e^{-ik^n T} \chi(k^n) \hat{f}_0^{(\pm)}(k) dk \tag{3.20}$$

where $\phi(x,k)$ is given by equation (3.3).

Let us use the stationary phase method for $T \to \pm\infty$ (see, e.g., Lemma A.1 where the role of T is played by a large parameter N) with the phase function $\omega(x,k,T) = \phi(x,k)T^{-1} - k^n$ depending on parameters x and T. In view of (3.3) the stationary points of integral (3.20) are determined by the equation

$$xT^{-1} - nk^{n-1} + T^{-1} \partial\vartheta(x,k)/\partial k = 0 \tag{3.21}$$

for $k = k(x,T)$. Condition (3.6) on derivatives of $\vartheta(x,k)$ in k allows us to obtain the solutions of equation (3.21) by successive approximations starting from k_0 such that $nk_0^{n-1}T = x$. Note also that

$$\partial^2 \omega(x,k,T)/\partial k^2 = -n(n-1)k^{n-2} + T^{-1} \partial^2 \vartheta(x,k)/\partial k^2. \tag{3.22}$$

If n is even, then equation (3.21) has the unique solution $k(x,T)$ for all x and sufficiently large T and

$$k(x,T) = (x/(nT))^{\frac{1}{n-1}} + O(|T|^{-\rho}) \tag{3.23}$$

uniformly in x/T in compact sets of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Thus applying formula (A.2) to integral (3.20) and using (3.22) we find that

$$\begin{aligned}(J \exp(-iB_0 T) f_0^{(\pm)})(x) = (n(n-1))^{-1/2} e^{\mp \pi i/4} |T|^{-1/2} e^{i\Xi(x,T)} \\ \times |k(x,T)|^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \hat{f}_0^{(\pm)}(k(x,T)) + \epsilon^{(\pm)}(x,T)\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where the phase

$$\Xi(x,T) = xk(x,T) - k(x,T)^n T + \vartheta(x, k(x,T)) \tag{3.25}$$

and $\|\epsilon^{(\pm)}(T)\| \to 0$ as $T \to \pm\infty$. Formula (3.24) can be simplified if one takes an approximate solution of equation (3.21) for $k(x,T)$. According to (3.23) $|k(x,T)|$ in (3.24) can be replaced by $|x/(nT)|^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$ and the phase (3.25) can be written as

$$\Xi(x,T) = \Xi_0(x,T) + \Theta(x/(nT),T) \tag{3.26}$$

with

$$\Xi_0(x,T) = (n-1)\big|x/(nT)\big|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}T \tag{3.27}$$

and some function Θ satisfying the condition $\Theta(u,T) = O(|T|^{1-\rho})$ for u in compact sets of $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$.

Let us introduce a unitary operator $Y = Y_n$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by the formula

$$(Yf)(x) = (n-1)^{-1/2}|x|^{-\frac{n-2}{2(n-1)}}\hat{f}(\operatorname{sgn} x|x|^{\frac{1}{n-1}}). \tag{3.28}$$

Then it follows from (3.24) that

$$(J\exp(-iB_0T)f_0^{(\pm)})(x) = e^{\mp\pi i/4}|nT|^{-1/2}e^{i\Xi(x,T)}(Yf_0^{(\pm)})(x/(nT)) + \epsilon^{(\pm)}(x,T) \tag{3.29}$$

where again $\|\epsilon^{(\pm)}(T)\| \to 0$ as $T \to \pm\infty$. Putting together relations (3.19) and (3.29), we obtain the asymptotics of $\exp(-iBT)f$ as $T \to \pm\infty$ for vectors f such that $f = E(X)f$. The unitarity of $\exp(-iBT)f$ allows us to extend it to all $f \in \mathcal{H}^{(ac)}$.

If $n > 1$ is odd, then equation (3.21) has no solutions for $x/T < 0$ and it has two solutions $k_j(x,T)$ close to $(-1)^j(x/(nT))^{1/(n-1)}$, $j = 1,2$, for $x/T > 0$. In this case we have two stationary points $k_j(x,T)$, and instead of (3.26) we have two phase functions

$$\Xi_j(x,T) = (-1)^j\Xi_0(x,T) + \Theta_j(x/(nT),T), \quad j = 1,2, \tag{3.30}$$

where again $\Theta_j(u,T) = O(|T|^{1-\rho})$ for u in compact sets of $\mathbb{R}_+$. Otherwise the considerations are quite similar to the case of even n.

Let us state the results obtained.

Theorem 3.5. *Let B be the operator* (1.10)*, and let the assumption* (3.1) *be satisfied. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{H}^{(ac)}$ and $f_0^{(\pm)}$ is defined by* (3.18)*. If n is even, then*

$$(\exp(-iBT)f)(x) = e^{\mp\pi i/4}|nT|^{-1/2}e^{i\Xi(x,T)}(Yf_0^{(\pm)})(x/(nT)) + \epsilon^{(\pm)}(x,T) \tag{3.31}$$

where $\|\epsilon^{(\pm)}(T)\| \to 0$ as $T \to \pm\infty$. If n is odd, $n > 1$ and $T \to \pm\infty$, then

$$(\exp(-iBT)f)(x) = |nT|^{-1/2}\sum_{j=1,2} e^{\mp(-1)^j\pi i/4}e^{i\Xi_j(x,T)}(Yf_0^{(\pm)})((-1)^jx/(nT)) + \epsilon^{(\pm)}(x,T), \tag{3.32}$$

where $\pm x > 0$ and $\|\epsilon^{(\pm)}(T)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\pm)} \to 0$. Moreover, $\|\exp(-iBT)f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_\mp)} \to 0$ as $T \to \pm\infty$.

Of course these asymptotic formulas remain true but can be significantly simplified under the assumptions of Section 2. If condition (2.1) is satisfied, then $J = I$ and we can set $\Theta = \Theta_1 = \Theta_2 = 0$ in (3.26) and (3.30). Under the assumptions of Proposition 2.11 al these functions equal $\beta(x)$ defined by (2.40); in this case $J = \mathcal{J}$ is given by (2.39).

4. Degenarate differential operators

4.1. Let us now consider differential operators A defined by formula (1.11) where $Q(X)$ is polynomial (1.13) with $q_n = 1$. Thus we have

$$(Ag)(\xi) = v(\xi)\sum_{m=0}^{n} q_m D_\xi\big(v(\xi)g(\xi)\big), \quad D_\xi = -id/d\xi, \quad q_n = 1. \tag{4.1}$$

We do not suppose that the function $v(\xi)$ is given by the formula (1.12). Instead we accept very general

Assumption 4.1. The function $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $v(\xi) > 0$ and $v(\xi) \to 0$ as $|\xi| \to \infty$.

Let us make the change of variables

$$x = x(\xi) = \int_0^\xi v(\eta)^{-2/n} d\eta \tag{4.2}$$

and define the operator L by the formula

$$(\mathrm{L}f)(\xi) = x'(\xi)^{1/2} f(x(\xi)) \tag{4.3}$$

Under Assumption 4.1 we have $x'(\xi) = v(\xi)^{-2/n} > 0$ and $x(\xi) \to \pm\infty$ as $\xi \to \pm\infty$. The operator $\mathrm{L} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is unitary.

Let us calculate the operator

$$B = \mathrm{L}^* A \mathrm{L}. \tag{4.4}$$

In view of (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}(A\mathrm{L}f)(\xi) =& v(\xi)\sum_{m=0}^{n} q_m D_\xi^m\big(v(\xi)^{1-1/n} f(x(\xi))\big)\\ =:& v(\xi)^{-1/n}\sum_{m=0}^{n} i^{-m} b_m(x(\xi)) f^{(m)}(x(\xi))\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where the functions $b_m(x)$ are determined by the comparison of the coefficients at $f^{(m)}(x(\xi))$ in the left- and right-hand sides of (4.5). It follows that the operator (4.4) equals

$$B = \sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m(x) D_x^m, \qquad D_x = -id/dx, \tag{4.6}$$

with the coefficients $b_m(x)$ defined by equation (4.5).

Our goal is to find $b_0(x), \dots, b_n(x)$. To that end, we need to calculate the derivatives in the left-hand side of (4.5). For shortness, we put

$$\phi(\xi) = x'(\xi) = v(\xi)^{-2/n}, \quad \psi(\xi) = v(\xi)^{1-1/n}. \tag{4.7}$$

By the Leibniz formula, we have

$$i^m D_\xi^m\big(\psi(\xi)f(x(\xi))\big) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} i^j \tbinom{m}{j} \psi^{(m-j)}(\xi) D_\xi^j f(x(\xi)). \tag{4.8}$$

The terms with $j = 0$ contribute to $b_0(x)$ which yields the expression

$$b_0(x) = v(\xi)^{1+1/n} \sum_{m=0}^{n} i^{-m} q_m\big(v(\xi)^{1-1/n}\big)^{(m)} \tag{4.9}$$

where as always the variables x and ξ are linked by formula (4.2).

To find expressions for other coefficients $b_m(x)$, we have to calculate the derivatives $D_\xi^j f(x(\xi))$.

Lemma 4.2. *For all $j \geq 1$, we have the formula*

$$i^j D_\xi^j f(x(\xi)) = \sum_{l=1}^{j} \tau_{j,l}(\xi) f^{(l)}(x(\xi)) \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$\tau_{j,l}(\xi) = \sum c_{\kappa_1,\dots,\kappa_l} \phi^{(\kappa_1)}(\xi) \cdots \phi^{(\kappa_l)}(\xi), \tag{4.11}$$

$c_{\kappa_1,\dots,\kappa_l}$ are some numerical coefficients and the sum is taken over all $\kappa_1 \geq 0, \dots, \kappa_l \geq 0$ such that

$$\kappa_1 + \cdots + \kappa_l = j - l.$$

In particular,

$$\tau_{j,j}(\xi) = \phi(\xi)^j \tag{4.12}$$

and

$$\tau_{j,j-1}(\xi) = \phi'(\xi)\varphi(\xi)^{j-2} + (\phi(\xi)^2)'\phi(\xi)^{j-3} + \cdots + (\phi(\xi)^{j-1})', \quad j \geq 2. \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. If $j = 1$, then $iD_\xi f(x(\xi)) = \phi(\xi) f'(x(\xi))$ which is consistent with (4.10) and (4.12). So we have to justify the passage from j to $j + 1$. Differentiating expression (4.10), we see that

$$i^{j+1} D_\xi^{j+1} f(x(\xi)) = \sum_{l=1}^{j} \Big(\tau'_{j,l}(\xi) f^{(l)}(x(\xi)) + \tau_{j,l}(\xi)\phi(\xi) f^{(l+1)}(x(\xi)) \Big)$$

and hence formula (4.10) for $D_\xi^{j+1} f(x(\xi))$ holds with

$$\tau_{j+1,1}(\xi) = \tau'_{j,1}(\xi), \quad \tau_{j+1,l}(\xi) = \tau'_{j,l}(\xi) + \tau_{j,l-1}(\xi)\phi(\xi),\ 2 \leq l \leq j,$$
$$\tau_{j+1,j+1}(\xi) = \tau_{j,j}(\xi)\phi(\xi). \tag{4.14}$$

Substituting here expressions (4.11) for $\tau_{j,l}(\xi)$, we get expressions (4.11) for $\tau_{j+1,l}(\xi)$.

Formula (4.12) follows from the last relation (4.14). Finally, relation (4.14) for $l = j$ shows that

$$\tau_{j+1,j}(\xi) = (\phi(\xi)^j)' + \tau_{j,j-1}(\xi)\phi(\xi).$$

Using now formula (4.13) for $\tau_{j,j-1}(\xi)$ we obtain expression (4.13) for $\tau_{j+1,j}(\xi)$. $\square$

Substituting expressions (4.8) and (4.10) into equality (4.5) and putting together the coefficients at $f^{(m)}(x(\xi))$, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let A be differential operator* (1.11) *where $Q(X)$ is polynomial* (1.13) *with $q_n = 1$. Under Assumption* 4.1 *define the unitary operator $\mathrm{L} : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by formulas* (4.2), (4.3), *and let $\phi(\xi)$, $\psi(\xi)$ be functions* (4.7). *Then $B = \mathrm{L}^* A \mathrm{L}$ is differential operator* (4.6) *where the coefficients $b_m(x)$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$, are sums of terms* (*times some numerical coefficients*)

$$v(\xi)^{1+1/n} \phi^{(\kappa_1)}(\xi) \cdots \phi^{(\kappa_m)}(\xi) \psi^{(p)}(\xi), \quad \xi = \xi(x); \tag{4.15}$$

here $p \geq 0$, $\kappa_1 \geq 0, \dots, \kappa_m \geq 0$ and

$$\kappa_1 + \cdots + \kappa_m + p \leq n - m.$$

In particular, the coefficient $b_n = 1$ does not depend on x,

$$b_{n-1}(x) = q_{n-1} v(\xi(x))^{2/n} \tag{4.16}$$

and $b_0(x)$ is given by formula (4.9).

Suppose now that the polynomial $Q(X)$ is real. Then the operators A and hence B are symmetric. Moreover, if $v(-\xi) = v(\xi)$, then A commutes with the involution C defined by (2.47). Since $\mathrm{L}\mathsf{C} = \mathsf{C}\mathrm{L}$, we have the identity $B\mathsf{C} = \mathsf{C}B$ so that the coefficients of the operator B satisfy the condition (2.46).

4.2. Under some mild additional assumptions on the function $v(\xi)$ the coefficients $b_m(x)$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, of the operator B constructed in Theorem 4.3 decay as $|x| \to \infty$.

Assumption 4.4. For some $\gamma > 0$ and $\delta \geq 0$, the function v and its derivatives satisfy estimates

$$v(\xi)^2 \leq C \Big| \int_0^\xi v(\eta)^{-2/n} d\eta \Big|^{-n\gamma} \tag{4.17}$$

and

$$|v^{(p)}(\xi)| \leq C_p v(\xi)^{1+\delta p}, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots. \tag{4.18}$$

Remark 4.5. Actually, it suffices to require in Assumption 4.1 that $v \in C^N(\mathbb{R})$ for some sufficiently large but finite N and to impose condition (4.18) for $p = 1, \dots, N$.

Note that for function (1.12), condition (4.17) is satisfied with $\gamma = 1$ and estimate (4.18) is true for all p with $\delta = 0$.

Putting together (4.2) and (4.17), we see that

$$v(\xi(x))^2 \leq C(1 + |x|)^{-n\gamma}. \tag{4.19}$$

It follows from (4.18) that functions (4.7) satisfy the estimates

$$|\phi^{(\kappa)}(\xi)| \leq C v(\xi)^{-2/n}, \quad |\psi^{(p)}(\xi)| \leq C v(\xi)^{1-1/n}$$

for all κ and p. Therefore expression (4.15) is bounded by $Cv(\xi)^{2-2m/n}$ and hence, by Theorem 4.3,

$$|b_m(x)| \le Cv(\xi(x))^{2-2m/n} \le C'(1+|x|)^{-(n-m)\gamma}, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Finally, we obtain estimates on derivatives of the functions $b_m(x)$. Note that

$$|d^p b_m(x)/dx^p| \le C_p \sum_{q=1}^{p} |d^q b_m(x(\xi))/d\xi^q| \sum_{\varkappa_j \ge 1, \varkappa_1+\dots+\varkappa_q=p} |\xi^{(\varkappa_1)}(x)| \cdots |\xi^{(\varkappa_q)}(x)| \quad (4.20)$$

for all p. Recall that $b_m(x(\xi))$ consists of terms (4.15). Differentiating them and using estimate (4.18) we see that for all q,

$$|d^q b_m(x(\xi))/d\xi^q| \le Cv(\xi)^{2-2m/n+\delta q}.$$

Differentiating relation $\xi'(x) = v(\xi(x))^{2/n}$ and using again estimate (4.18) we find that

$$|\xi^{(\kappa)}(x)| \le C_\kappa v(\xi(x))^{2\kappa/n+\delta(\kappa-1)}.$$

According to (4.20) this implies the bound

$$|d^p b_m(x)/dx^p| \le C_p v(\xi(x))^{2-2m/n+p(\delta+2/n)}.$$

Taking now into account condition (4.19), we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.6. *In addition to the conditions of Theorem* 4.3*, let Assumptions* 4.4 *hold. Then* $B = \mathrm{L}^* A \mathrm{L}$ *is given by formula* (4.6) *where* $b_m = 1$ *and the coefficients* $b_m \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ *obey the estimates*

$$|b_m^{(p)}(x)| \le C(1+|x|)^{-(n-m)\gamma-p\gamma(1+\delta n/2)}, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots. \quad (4.21)$$

Remark 4.7. Of course the construction above works for general differential operators

$$A = \sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m(\xi) D_\xi^m$$

under appropriate assumptions on the coefficients $a_m(\xi)$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$. The corresponding operator L (cf. (4.3), (4.2)) is defined by the formula

$$(\mathrm{L}f)(\xi) = a_n(\xi)^{-1/(2n)} f\Big(\int_0^\xi a_n(\eta)^{-1/n} d\eta \Big).$$

Let us now apply the results of Sections 2 and 3 to the operator B and then reformulate them in terms of the operator $A = \mathrm{L}B\mathrm{L}^*$. As usual, we suppose that the coefficients $q_0, \dots, q_n$ in (4.1) are real numbers. Then the operators A and B are symmetric on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. If the coefficients $b_m(x)$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, are bounded, then they are essentially self-adjoint. The operator B is self-adjoint on $\mathsf{H}^n(\mathbb{R})$, and the operator A is self-adjoint on $\mathrm{L}\mathsf{H}^n(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, if $b_m(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$, then the essential spectra of the operators B and A coincide with $\mathbb{R}$ if n is odd, and they coincide with $[0, \infty)$ if $n \ge 2$ is even.

If Assumptions 4.4 holds with $\gamma > 1$, then according to (4.21) all the coefficients $b_m(x)$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, of the operator B are short-range so that Theorem 2.1 works in this case. In our applications to Hankel operators, only the weaker condition $\gamma > 1/2$ is satisfied. Then the coefficients $b_m(x)$ for $m = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ are short-range and the coefficient $b_{n-1}(x)$ is given by formula (4.16) so that Proposition 2.11 can be used. For an arbitrary $\gamma > 0$, all the coefficients $b_m(x)$, $m = 0, \dots, n-1$, may be long-range, but under the assumption

$$\gamma(1 + \delta n/2) \geq 1 \tag{4.22}$$

Theorem 3.1 applies. This leads to the following result.

Theorem 4.8. *Let Assumptions* 4.1 *and* 4.4 *hold. Suppose that either* $\gamma > 1/2$ (*and* $\delta \geq 0$ *is arbitrary*) *or condition* (4.22) *is satisfied. Define the self-adjoint operator* A *by differential expression* (1.11) *where the polynomial* $Q(X)$ *is real and* $q_n = 1$. *Then:*

(i) *The spectrum of the operator* A *is absolutely continuous except eigenvalues that may accumulate to zero and infinity only.*

(ii) *Define the function* $x(\xi)$ *by formula* (4.2). *The limiting absorption principle holds, that is, for any* $\sigma > 1/2$, *the operator-valued function*

$$\langle x(\xi)\rangle^{-\sigma}(A - z)^{-1}\langle x(\xi)\rangle^{-\sigma} \tag{4.23}$$

is continuous up to the real axis, except the eigenvalues of the operator A *and the point zero.*

(iii) *The absolutely continuous spectrum of the operator* A *covers* $\mathbb{R}$ *and is simple for* n *odd. It coincides with* $[0, \infty)$ *and has multiplicity* 2 *for* n *even.*

(iv) *If* n *is odd, then the multiplicities of eigenvalues of the operator* A *are bounded by* $(n-1)/2$. *If* n *is even, then the multiplicities of positive eigenvalues are bounded by* $n/2 - 1$, *and the multiplicities of negative eigenvalues are bounded by* $n/2$.

We emphasize that since the function $x(\xi)/\xi \to \infty$ as $|\xi| \to \infty$, the limiting absorption principle for the operator A with degenerate coefficients has a weaker form than in the regular case discussed in Sections 2 and 3. In particular, for function (1.12) the resolvent $(A - z)^{-1}$ has to be sandwiched by the exponentially decaying weight $\langle x(\xi)\rangle^{-\sigma}$.

Remark 4.9. Condition (4.22) is far from being optimal. It is required only to use conveniently the results of Section 3 on the long-range case (Theorem 3.1).

Let us discuss the case $\gamma > 1/2$ in more details. Following Proposition 2.11, we define eigenfunctions $\psi_\pm(x, k)$ of the operator B by formula (2.45) where $\widetilde{\psi}_\pm(x, k)$ are the eigenfunctions of the differential operator $\widetilde{B} = \mathcal{J}^* B \mathcal{J}$ with short-range coefficients. It follows from formulas (2.40) and (4.16) that

$$\beta(x) = -n^{-1}q_{n-1}\int_0^x v(\xi(y))^{2/n}dy = -n^{-1}q_{n-1}\xi(x) \tag{4.24}$$

where we have used that $dy = v(\eta)^{-2/n}d\eta$. Thus relations (2.39) and (2.45) read now as

$$(\mathcal{J}f)(x) = e^{-iq_{n-1}\xi(x)/n}f(x) \tag{4.25}$$

and

$$\psi_\pm(x,k) = e^{-iq_{n-1}\xi(x)/n}\widetilde{\psi}_\pm(x,k). \tag{4.26}$$

According to (4.4) we define eigenfunctions of the operator A by the formula $\varphi_\pm(k) = \mathrm{L}\psi_\pm(k)$. Then representation (2.18) implies that the spectral family $E_A(\lambda)$ of the operator A satisfies the relation

$$\frac{d(E_A(\lambda)g,g)}{d\lambda} = \sum_{k^n=\lambda}\Big|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\overline{\varphi_\pm(\xi,k)}g(\xi)d\xi\Big|^2, \quad g\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad \lambda = k^n\in\Omega.$$

It follows from (4.2), (4.3) and (4.26) that

$$\varphi_\pm(\xi,k) = e^{-iq_{n-1}\xi/n}v(\xi)^{-1/n}\widetilde{\psi}_\pm\big(\int_0^\xi v(\eta)^{-2/n}d\eta, k\big). \tag{4.27}$$

Using the results of Section 2, we can write down asymptotics of the functions $\varphi(\xi,k) = \varphi_-(\xi,k)$ as $\xi\to\pm\infty$. For example, for odd n, it follows from (2.36) and (4.27) that

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(\xi,k) = e^{-iq_{n-1}\xi/n}v(\xi)^{-1/n}\exp\big(ik\int_0^\xi v(\eta)^{-2/n}d\eta\big)(1+o(1)), \quad \xi\to-\infty, \\ \varphi(\xi,k) = s(\lambda)e^{-iq_{n-1}\xi/n}v(\xi)^{-1/n}\exp\big(ik\int_0^\xi v(\eta)^{-2/n}d\eta\big)(1+o(1)), \quad \xi\to\infty, \end{cases}$$

where $s(\lambda)$ is the scattering matrix for the pair $B_0, \widetilde{B}$ (or which is the same, for the triple $B_0, B, \mathcal{J}$). Quite similarly, for even n the asymptotics of $\varphi(\xi,k)$ follows from (2.37), (2.38) and (4.27). We recall that the asymptotic coefficients $s_{j\ell}(\lambda)$ in these formulas are elements of the scattering matrix $S(\lambda)$ for the pair B_0, $\widetilde{B}$. In particular, if $v(-\xi) = v(\xi)$, then the operator A commutes with the involution $\mathcal{C}$ defined by (2.47). In this case we also have $\mathcal{C}\mathrm{L} = \mathrm{L}\mathcal{C}$ so that $\mathcal{C}B = B\mathcal{C}$. Thus $S(\lambda)$ satisfies identity (2.49), and hence $s_{12}(\lambda) = s_{21}(\lambda)$.

The eigenfunctions (4.27) grow and rapidly oscillate as $|\xi|\to\infty$. For example, for function (1.12) both the amplitude and the phase of $\varphi(\xi,k)$ grow exponentially. This is of course consistent with the formulation of the limiting absorption principle in part (ii) of Theorem 4.8.

4.3. Let us discuss conditions (4.17) and (4.18).

Example 4.10. Suppose that

$$c_1(1+|\xi|)^{-\alpha}\le v(\xi)\le c_2(1+|\xi|)^{-\alpha}, \quad \alpha>0, \quad c_1,c_2>0.$$

Then

$$\Big|\int_0^\xi v(\eta)^{-2/n}d\eta\Big| \le c_1^{-2/n}\Big|\int_0^\xi(1+|\eta|)^{2\alpha/n}d\eta\Big| \le C_1(1+|\xi|)^{1+2\alpha/n} \le C_2v(\xi)^{-(n+2\alpha)/(\alpha n)} \tag{4.28}$$

so that condition (4.17) is satisfied with $\gamma = 2\alpha(2\alpha+n)^{-1}$.

If, moreover,

$$|v^{(p)}(\xi)| \le C_p(1+|\xi|)^{-\alpha-p}$$

for all $p = 0, 1, \dots$, then condition (4.18) is satisfied with $\delta = 1/\alpha$. Therefore we have the equality in (4.22) and estimate (4.21) reads as

$$|b_m^{(p)}(x)| \le C(1+|x|)^{-(n-m)\gamma-p}, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad \gamma = 2\alpha(2\alpha+n)^{-1}.$$

Thus the coefficients $b_m(x)$ decay as $|x| \to \infty$, but at least some of them are long-range.

It follows from (4.28) that function (4.2) satisfies the estimate $\langle x(\xi)\rangle \le C\langle\xi\rangle^{1+2\alpha/n}$. Therefore in the formulation of part (ii) in Theorem 4.8, the operator-valued function (4.23) can be replaced by $\langle\xi\rangle^{-\sigma}(A-z)^{-1}\langle\xi\rangle^{-\sigma}$ where $\sigma > 1/2 + \alpha/n$.

Example 4.11. Suppose that

$$c_1 e^{-\beta|\xi|^\alpha} \le v(\xi) \le c_2 e^{-\beta|\xi|^\alpha}, \quad \alpha > 0,\ \beta > 0.$$

Then

$$\Big|\int_0^\xi v(\eta)^{-2/n} d\eta\Big| \le c_1^{-2/n} \int_0^{|\xi|} e^{2\beta\eta^\alpha/n} d\eta \le C_1 e^{2\beta|\xi|^\alpha/n}(1+|\xi|)^{1-\alpha}. \tag{4.29}$$

so that condition (4.17) is satisfied with $\gamma = 1$ if $\alpha \ge 1$ and with arbitrary $\gamma < 1$ if $\alpha \in (0,1)$. If, moreover,

$$|v^{(p)}(\xi)| \le C_p e^{-\beta|\xi|^\alpha}$$

for all $p = 0, 1, \dots$, then condition (4.18) is satisfied with $\delta = 0$.

In particular, for function (1.12) $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = \pi/2$, and hence according to (4.21) the coefficients of the corresponding operator B satisfy for all p the estimates

$$|b_m^{(p)}(x)| \le C(1+|x|)^{-n+m-p}, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

In this case the coefficients $b_0(x), \dots, b_{n-2}(x)$ are short-range and $b_{n-1}^{(p)}(x) = O(|x|^{-1-p})$.

It follows from (4.29) that in the formulation of the limiting absorption principle in Theorem 4.8 the operator-valued function (4.23) can be replaced by $\langle e^{|\xi|^\alpha}\rangle^{-\sigma}(A - z)^{-1}\langle e^{|\xi|^\alpha}\rangle^{-\sigma}$ where $\sigma > \beta/n$.

5. Hankel operators. Spectral results

Here we come back to the generalized Carleman operators H. Our goal in this section is to prove Theorem 1.1.

5.1. So we consider Hankel operators

$$(Hu)(t) = \int_0^\infty h(t+s)u(s)ds \tag{5.1}$$

with kernels

$$h(t) = t^{-1}\sum_{m=0}^n p_m \ln^m t, \quad p_m = \bar{p}_m, \quad p_n = 1, \quad n \ge 1. \tag{5.2}$$

Put

$$q_m = \sum_{j=m}^{n} \binom{j}{m} \gamma^{(j-m)}(0) p_j, \quad m = 0, \dots, n, \quad \gamma(z) = \Gamma(1-z)^{-1}, \tag{5.3}$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function. For example, $q_n = p_n$ and

$$q_{n-1} = p_{n-1} + \Gamma'(1) n p_n$$

for all n (recall that $-\Gamma'(1)$ is the Euler constant). Of course formulas (5.3) allow one to recover the coefficients $p_n, p_{n-1}, \dots, p_0$ given the coefficients $q_n, q_{n-1}, \dots, q_0$.

Let A be the differential operator

$$A = v(\xi)\Big(\sum_{m=0}^{n} q_m D_\xi^m \Big) v(\xi), \quad D_\xi = -id/d\xi, \quad v(\xi) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\cosh(\pi\xi)}}, \tag{5.4}$$

in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. It is self-adjoint on the domain $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathsf{L}\mathsf{H}^n(\mathbb{R})$ where the unitary operator L is defined by formula (4.3) with $x(\xi)$ given by

$$x(\xi) = \pi^{-1/n} \int_0^\xi \big(\cosh(\pi s) \big)^{1/n} ds. \tag{5.5}$$

The operators H and A turn out to be unitary equivalent. Let $M : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \to L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be the Mellin transform defined by the formula

$$(Mu)(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty u(t) t^{-1/2 - i\xi} dt.$$

It is a unitary mapping. Set

$$(Fu)(\xi) = e^{-i\eta(\xi)} (Mu)(-\xi) \quad \text{where} \quad e^{-i\eta(\xi)} = \frac{\Gamma(1/2 - i\xi)}{|\Gamma(1/2 - i\xi)|}. \tag{5.6}$$

We proceed from the following result. Here we note only that its proof relies on the identity

$$h(t) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{m=0}^{n} q_m \ln^m \lambda d\lambda.$$

Theorem 5.1. [28, Theorem 3.2] *Let H be the Hankel operator* (5.1) *with kernel given by* (5.2). *Let the coefficients q_m be defined by formulas* (5.3), *and let A be differential operator* (5.4). *Then for all functions u_j, $j = 1, 2$, such that $Mu_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, the identity*

$$(Hu_1, u_2) = (AFu_1, Fu_2) \tag{5.7}$$

holds.

We emphasize that Theorem 5.1 does not require that the coefficients of $P(X)$ be real. Note that for $n = 0$, Theorem 5.1 reduces to the diagonalization of the Carleman operator. If $n \geq 1$, then the operators H are unbounded, but for real coefficients p_m they are self-adjoint on the set $\mathcal{D}(H) = F^* \mathcal{D}(A)$. In this case $A\mathsf{C} = \mathsf{C}A$ where C is the involution given by (2.47).

For the function $v(\xi)$ defined in (5.4), conditions (4.17) and (4.18) are satisfied with $\gamma = 1$ and $\delta = 0$, respectively. Thus Theorem 4.8 implies the spectral results (i), (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 1.1.

5.2. The limiting absorption principle requires a special discussion. By virtue of part (ii) of Theorem 4.8 and the identity (5.7) the operator-valued function

$$\langle x(\xi)\rangle^{-\sigma} M(H-z)^{-1} M^* \langle x(\xi)\rangle^{-\sigma}, \quad \sigma > 1/2,$$

is continuous up to the real axis in the complex plane cut along $\mathbb{R}$ for n odd and along $[0,\infty)$ for n even, except the eigenvalues of the operator H and the point zero. However this does *not* imply the same conclusions about the operator-valued function (1.4).

To prove part (ii) of Theorem 1.1, we first diagonalize the Hankel operator H. Comparing relations (2.16), (4.4) and (5.7), we see that

$$\Theta_\pm H = \Lambda \Theta_\pm \quad \text{where} \quad \Theta_\pm = \Psi_\pm \mathrm{L}^* F, \tag{5.8}$$

the operator L is defined by relations (4.2) and, as before, Λ is the operator of multiplication by k^n in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The operators $\Psi_\pm$ are given by relation (2.14) in terms of the eigenfunctions $\psi_\pm(x,k)$ of the continuous spectrum of the operator $B = \mathrm{L}^* A \mathrm{L}$. Recall that, with the operator $\mathcal{J}$ given by (4.25), the differential operator $\widetilde{B} = \mathcal{J}^* B \mathcal{J}$ has short-range coefficients. Therefore its eigenfunctions $\widetilde{\psi}_\pm(x,k)$ are correctly defined by formula (2.44) and the eigenfunctions $\psi_\pm(x,k)$ of the operator B are given by (4.26).

It follows from (5.8) that eigenfunctions $\theta_\pm(t,k)$ of the continuous spectrum of the operator H can formally be defined by the equation $\theta_\pm(k) = F^* \mathrm{L} \psi_\pm(k)$ (note that $\varphi_\pm(k) = \mathrm{L}\psi_\pm(k)$ are the eigenfunctions of the operator A) or, in a more detailed notation,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\pm(t,k) =& (2\pi)^{-1/2} t^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\xi \ln t} e^{i\eta(\xi)} \varphi_\pm(\xi,k) d\xi \\ =& (2\pi)^{-1/2} t^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\xi(x) \ln t} e^{i\eta(\xi(x))} \xi'(x)^{1/2} \psi_\pm(x,k) dx \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

where $\xi(x)$ is the function inverse to (5.5). As we will see in the next section, these integrals converge (but not absolutely). Of course, we always suppose that $\lambda = k^n$ belongs to the set Ω defined by relations (2.8). By their construction, the functions $\theta_\pm(t,k)$ satisfy the equation $H\theta_\pm(k) = k^n \theta_\pm(k)$. In terms of the functions $\theta_\pm(t,k)$, we have (cf. (2.14))

$$(\Theta_\pm u)(k) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty \overline{\theta_\pm(t,k)} u(t) dt. \tag{5.10}$$

In the next section, we will establish the following result. As usual, we set $\theta = \theta_-$.

Theorem 5.2. *The functions $\theta(t,k)$ defined by formula* (5.9) *satisfy the estimates*

$$|\theta(t,k)| \le C t^{-1/2} \tag{5.11}$$

and

$$|\partial\theta(t,k)/\partial k| \le Ct^{-1/2}\langle \ln t\rangle. \tag{5.12}$$

These estimates are uniform in k for $\lambda = k^n$ in compact subsets of Ω.

We note that estimates (5.11) and (5.12) on the eigenfunctions $\theta(t,k)$ of the operator H are the same as for the classical Carleman operator when $h(t) = t^{-1}$.

Corollary 5.3. *For any $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\sigma > \alpha + 1/2$ the operator*

$$\Theta\langle \ln t\rangle^{-\sigma} : L^2 \to C^\alpha(\Omega)$$

is bounded.

Proof. It follows from definition (5.10) and estimate (5.11) that

$$|(\Theta\langle \ln t\rangle^{-\sigma}u)(k)|^2 \le (2\pi)^{-1}\Big(\int_0^\infty |\theta(t,k)|\langle \ln t\rangle^{-\sigma}|u(t)|dt\Big)^2 \le C\int_0^\infty t^{-1}\langle \ln t\rangle^{-2\sigma}dt\|u\|^2. \tag{5.13}$$

Hence, for $\sigma > 1/2$, the functions $(\Theta\langle \ln t\rangle^{-\sigma}u)(k)$ are bounded uniformly in k in compact subsets of Ω.

Comparing estimates (5.11) and (5.12), we see that

$$|\theta(t,k) - \theta(t,k')| \le Ct^{-1/2}\langle \ln t\rangle^{\alpha}|k-k'|^\alpha, \quad \forall \alpha \in (0,1).$$

Therefore quite similarly to (5.13), we find that

$$|(\Theta\langle \ln t\rangle^{-\sigma}u)(k) - (\Theta\langle \ln t\rangle^{-\sigma}u)(k')| \le C|k-k'|^\alpha\Big(\int_0^\infty t^{-1}\langle \ln t\rangle^{-2\sigma+2\alpha}dt\Big)^{1/2}\|u\|.$$

The last integral converges if $\sigma > \alpha + 1/2$. □

In the standard terminology of scattering theory (see, e.g., Definition 4.5 in Chapter 4 of the book [21]) Corollary 5.3 means that the operator $\langle \ln t\rangle^{-\sigma}$ is strongly H-smooth with exponent α on Ω. In particular, the operator $\langle \ln t\rangle^{-\sigma}$ for $\sigma > 1/2$ satisfies Definition 2.3.

It follows from formula (5.8) that the spectral family $E_H(\lambda)$ of the operator H admits the representation

$$\frac{d(E_H(\lambda)u,u)}{d\lambda} = n^{-1}k^{-n+1}\sum_{k^n=\lambda}|(\Theta u)(k)|^2, \quad \lambda \in \Omega.$$

Here the sum consists of the one term if n is odd; if n is even it consists of two terms for $\lambda > 0$, and it is empty if $\lambda < 0$. Therefore Corollary 5.3 implies that the operator-valued function

$$d\langle \ln t\rangle^{-\sigma}E_H(\lambda)\langle \ln t\rangle^{-\sigma}/d\lambda, \quad \sigma > 1/2,$$

is Hölder continuous with exponent $\alpha < \sigma - 1/2$ in $\lambda \in \Omega$. Using now the Privalov theorem (see, e.g., Theorem 2.6 in Chapter 1 of [21]) we conclude the proof of statement (ii) of Theorem 1.1.

5.3. The limiting absorption principle for the operator H allows one to easily extend Theorem 1.1 to perturbations of generalized Hankel operators. We state only the simplest results in this direction. However we do not assume that a perturbation $\mathbf{V}$ is a Hankel operator. Instead, we accept a more general

Assumption 5.4. An operator $\mathbf{V}$ is self-adjoint and the operator $\langle \ln t\rangle^{\alpha_1}\mathbf{V}\langle \ln t\rangle^{\alpha_2}$ is compact for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 1/2$.

Recall that the wave operators were introduced in subs. 2.2.

Theorem 5.5. *Let H be the Hankel operator* (5.1) *with kernel given by* (5.2). *Under Assumption* 5.4 *put $\mathbf{H} = H + \mathbf{V}$. Then*

(i) *The wave operators $W_\pm(\mathbf{H}, H)$ exist and are complete. In particular, the absolutely continuous spectrum of the operator $\mathbf{H}$ covers $\mathbb{R}$ and is simple for n odd. It coincides with $[0,\infty)$ and has multiplicity 2 for n even.*

(ii) *If moreover $\alpha_j > 1$ for at least one j, then the operator $\mathbf{H}$ has no singular continuous spectrum. The eigenvalues of $\mathbf{H}$ distinct from 0 have finite multiplicities and can accumulate to this point (and infinity) only.*

The proof of this result relies on the tools of scattering theory. We can use, for example, Theorem 6.4 for the proof of (i) and Theorem 7.9, 7.10 in Chapter 4 of the book [21] for the proof of (ii). We omit details moreover that the proof of Theorems 5.5 is practically the same as the proof of Theorems 5.1 and 5.4 in [27] where the case $h(t) = t^{-1}$ was considered.

If $\mathbf{V}$ is an integral operator,

$$(\mathbf{V}u)(t) = \int_0^\infty \mathbf{v}(t,s)u(s)ds,$$

then the operator $\langle \ln t\rangle^{\alpha_1}\mathbf{V}\langle \ln t\rangle^{\alpha_2}$ belongs to the Hilbert-Schmidt class and hence it is compact if

$$\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty |\mathbf{v}(t,s)|^2\langle \ln t\rangle^{2\alpha_1}\langle \ln s\rangle^{2\alpha_2}dtds < \infty.$$

In particular, for Hankel operators $\mathbf{V}$ when $\mathbf{v}(t,s) = v(t+s)$, this condition is satisfied if

$$\int_0^\infty |v(t)|^2\langle \ln t\rangle^{2\alpha}tdt < \infty$$

where $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$. Thus assertion (i) of Theorem 5.5 is true if $\alpha > 1$, and assertion (ii) is true if $\alpha > 3/2$.

6. Eigenfunctions of Hankel operators

In this section we find the asymptotic behavior as $t \to \infty$ and as $t \to 0$ of the eigenfunctions $\theta(t,k)$ of the Hankel operators H defined by formulas (5.1) and (5.2). In particular, we prove Theorem 5.2 and hence conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1.

6.1. Recall that the eigenfunctions $\theta(t,k) = \theta_-(t,k)$ of the Hankel operator H were defined by equality (5.9) where $\psi(x,k)$ are the eigenfunctions of the differential operator $B = \mathrm{L}^*FHF^*\mathrm{L}$. Taking into account formula (4.26) we see that

$$\theta(t,k) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}t^{-1/2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\xi(x)\ln t}e^{i\varrho(x)}\xi'(x)^{1/2}\widetilde{\psi}(x,k)dx \tag{6.1}$$

where

$$\varrho(x) = \eta(\xi(x)) - q_{n-1}\xi(x)/n \tag{6.2}$$

and $\widetilde{\psi}(x,k)$ are the eigenfunctions of the differential operator $\widetilde{B} = \mathcal{J}^*B\mathcal{J}$ with short-range coefficients. We denote by $s(\lambda)$ and $s_{j\ell}(\lambda)$, $j,\ell = 1,2$, $\lambda = k^n \in \Omega$, the elements of the scattering matrices (see subsection 2.3) for the operators $B_0 = D^n$, $\widetilde{B}$. Note that $|s(\lambda)| = 1$ for odd n. If n is even, then the scattering matrix (2.22) with the elements $s_{j\ell}(\lambda)$ is unitary and $s_{12}(\lambda) = s_{21}(\lambda)$ according to Proposition 2.12.

To give a precise definition of the phase function $\omega(t,k)$ in (1.5), we need some notation. For $s > 0$, let us set

$$\gamma_0(s) = -n\pi^{-1}\ln((2\pi)^{1/n}s) + n\pi^{-1}, \tag{6.3}$$

$$\gamma_1(s) = \pi^{-1}\ln((2\pi)^{1/n}s)\Big(n\ln\big|\pi^{-1}n\ln((2\pi)^{1/n}s)\big| - n - q_{n-1}\Big)$$

and

$$\gamma(N,k) = N\gamma_0(|N/k|) + \gamma_1(|N/k|) + \operatorname{sgn}N\,(\pi/4 + a_1|k|) \tag{6.4}$$

where

$$a_1 = (2\pi)^{-1/n}\int_0^{\infty}\big((2\cosh(\pi\xi))^{1/n} - e^{\pi\xi/n}\big)d\xi - \pi^{-1}(2\pi)^{-1/n}n. \tag{6.5}$$

Now we are in a position to state the main result of this section.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\theta(t,k)$ be the eigenfunctions of the Hankel operator* (5.1) *with kernel given by* (5.2). *Define the phase function $\omega(t,k)$ by the formula*

$$\omega(t,k) = \gamma(\ln t, k). \tag{6.6}$$

Then:

(i) *For n odd, relation* (1.6) *holds as $t \to \infty$ if $k > 0$ and as $t \to 0$ if $k < 0$. If $t \to 0$ and $k > 0$ or $t \to \infty$ and $k > 0$, then estimate* (1.7) *is satisfied.*

(ii) *For n even, relations* (1.8) *hold if $k > 0$ and relations* (1.9) *hold if $k < 0$.*

All these relations are uniform in $\lambda = k^n$ in compact subsets of Ω.

Of course, asymptotic formulas (1.6), (1.7) and (1.8), (1.9) imply estimate (5.11). To obtain estimate (5.12), we formally differentiate the asymptotic formulas for $\theta(t,k)$ in k and observe that $|\partial\omega(t,k)/\partial k| \le C(1 + |\ln t|)$. To give the precise proof, we have to differentiate the integral representation (6.1) and take into account that $\partial\psi(x,k)/\partial k$ contains an additional factor x compared to $\psi(x,k)$. Then we can repeat the same calculation as for the integral (6.1) itself. We will not dwell upon details. Thus given Theorem 6.1, we conclude the proof of Theorem 5.2 and hence of Theorem 1.1.

6.2. For the proof of Theorem 6.1, we have to find the asymptotic behavior as $t \to \infty$ and as $t \to 0$ of the integral (6.1). Recall that the functions $x(\xi)$ and $\eta(\xi)$ were defined by formulas (5.5) and (5.6), respectively; $\xi(x)$ is the function inverse to $x(\xi)$. Put $N = \ln t$,

$$\zeta(x) = e^{i\varrho(x)}\xi'(x)^{1/2} \tag{6.7}$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}(N,k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\xi(x)N}\zeta(x)\widetilde{\psi}(x,k)dx \tag{6.8}$$

where $\widetilde{\psi}(x,k)$ are the eigenfunctions of the operator $\widetilde{B}$. Then according to (6.1) we have

$$\theta(t,k) = (2\pi)^{-1/2}t^{-1/2}\mathcal{I}(\ln t, k). \tag{6.9}$$

Thus our goal is to find the asymptotics of the integral (6.8) as $N \to \pm\infty$.

By definition (5.5), the function $x(\xi)$ is odd, $x'(\xi) > 0$ and

$$x(\xi) = a_0^{-1}e^{\pi\xi/n} + a_1 + O(e^{-\pi(2n-1)\xi/n}), \quad \xi \to +\infty, \tag{6.10}$$

where $a_0 = \pi(2\pi)^{1/n}n^{-1}$ and a_1 is given by formula (6.5). The inverse function $\xi(x)$ is also odd, $\xi'(x) > 0$, and it follows from (6.10) that

$$\xi(x) = \pi^{-1}n\big(\ln(a_0x) - a_1x^{-1} + O(x^{-2})\big), \tag{6.11}$$

$$\xi'(x) = \pi^{-1}nx^{-1}\big(1 + a_1x^{-1} + O(x^{-2})\big), \tag{6.12}$$

$$\xi''(x) = -\pi^{-1}nx^{-2}\big(1 + O(x^{-1})\big) \tag{6.13}$$

as $x \to +\infty$. The last relation can be further differentiated.

According to the Stirling formula the function $\eta(\xi) = -\eta(-\xi)$ defined by (5.6) satisfies the asymptotic relation

$$\eta(\xi) = \xi\ln|\xi| - \xi + O(\xi^{-1}), \quad |\xi| \to \infty.$$

Therefore (6.11) implies the asymptotic formula for the function (6.2):

$$\varrho(x) = \pi^{-1}\ln(a_0x)\Big(n\ln\big|\pi^{-1}n\ln(a_0x)\big| - n - q_{n-1}\Big) + O(x^{-1}), \quad x \to +\infty. \tag{6.14}$$

This formula can be differentiated. Of course the function $\varrho(x)$ is odd.

It follows from (6.12), (6.13) and (6.14) that function (6.7) satisfies the estimates

$$\zeta(x) = O(|x|^{-1/2}), \quad \zeta'(x) = O(|x|^{-3/2}\ln\ln|x|) \tag{6.15}$$

as $|x| \to \infty$.

We proceed from decomposition (2.33) of the function $\widetilde{\psi}(x,k)$ into oscillating $\psi_{\rm osc}(x,k)$ and decaying $\psi_{\rm dec}(x,k)$ parts:

$$\widetilde{\psi}(x,k) = \psi_{\rm osc}(x,k) + \psi_{\rm dec}(x,k) \tag{6.16}$$

(from now on, to simplify notation, we remove tilde from various objects related to the operator $\widetilde{B}$ and so we can write (6.16) as (2.33)). It is natural to expect that the

asymptotics of the integral (6.8) is determined by $\psi_{\rm osc}(x,k)$ which yields the integral with the oscillating function $e^{-i\xi(x)\ln t}e^{ikx}$.

6.3. First, we will show that the decaying term $\psi_{\rm dec}(x,k)$ does not contribute to the asymptotics of the integral (6.8). To that end, we need the following elementary result.

Lemma 6.2. *Let*

$$\mathsf{J}(N)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-i\xi(x)N+i\kappa x}R(x)dx$$

where $\kappa\in\mathbb{C}\setminus[0,\infty)$ *(or* $\kappa\in\mathbb{C}\setminus(-\infty,0]$*) and*

$$|e^{i\kappa x}R^{(l)}(x)|\le C(1+|x|)^{-a-l},\quad l=0,1,\quad a\in(0,1). \tag{6.17}$$

Then $\mathsf{J}(N)=O(|N|^{-a})$ *as* $N\to+\infty$ *(or as* $N\to-\infty$*).*

Proof. Integrating by parts we see that

$$\mathsf{J}(N)=i\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-i\xi(x)N+i\kappa x}\Big(\frac{R'(x)}{\kappa-\xi'(x)N}+N\frac{R(x)\xi''(x)}{(\kappa-\xi'(x)N)^2}\Big)dx. \tag{6.18}$$

Since $\xi'(x)>0$, it follows from our assumptions on κ and $\operatorname{sgn}N$ that

$$|\kappa-\xi'(x)N|\ge c(1+\xi'(x)|N|). \tag{6.19}$$

Therefore integral (6.18) over $|x|\le 1$ is bounded by $C|N|^{-1}$. According to (6.12) expression (6.19) is minorated by $1+|x|^{-1}|N|$ for $|x|\ge 1$. Using also estimates (6.13) and (6.17) we see that integral (6.18) over $|x|\ge 1$ is bounded by

$$C\int_1^{\infty}x^{-a}(x+|N|)^{-1}dx\le C_1|N|^{-a}.$$

This yields the same estimate for the integral $\mathsf{J}(N)$. □

Recall that $\psi_{\rm dec}(x,k)$ is given by formula (2.24) where the function w satisfies bound (2.26).

Lemma 6.3. *We have the estimate*

$$\mathcal{I}_{\rm dec}(N,k):=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-i\xi(x)N}\zeta(x)\psi_{\rm dec}(x,k)dx=O(|N|^{-1/2}) \tag{6.20}$$

as $|N|\to\infty$.

Proof. Let us, for example, consider one of the terms in the first sum in (2.24) and use Lemma 6.2 with $\kappa=\kappa_j$ and

$$R(x)=\zeta(x)\int_{-\infty}^{x}e^{-i\kappa_j y}w(y,k)dy.$$

Since $\operatorname{Im}\kappa_j>0$, it follows from (2.26) and (6.15) that condition (6.17) is satisfied. This is obvious for $l=0$ and we have to use estimate (2.27) for $l=1$. Thus relation (6.20) is a consequence of Lemma 6.2 for $a=1/2$. □

Next, we consider the contribution to (6.8) of the oscillating function $\psi_{\rm osc}(x,k)$. According to (2.32) for n is even, it equals

$$\mathcal{I}_{\rm osc}(N,k)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-i\xi(x)N+ikx}\zeta(x)r_+(x,k)dx+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-i\xi(x)N-ikx}\zeta(x)r_-(x,k)dx. \quad (6.21)$$

Here $r_+(x,k)$ and $r_-(x,k)$ are given by (2.29) and (2.30), respectively. If n is odd, then according to (2.31) formula (6.21) is true with $r_-(x,k)=0$ and $r_+(x,k)=r(x,k)$ given by (2.28). In all these formulas $w(x,k)$ is defined by (2.25) and hence according to (2.26)

$$r_\pm(x,k)=O(1),\quad r'_\pm(x,k)=O(|x|^{-\rho})\quad \text{as}\quad |x|\to\infty.$$

Using also (6.15), we see that

$$r_\pm(x,k)\zeta(x)=O(|x|^{-1/2}),\quad (r_\pm(x,k)\zeta(x))'=O(|x|^{-3/2}\ln\ln|x|). \quad (6.22)$$

Integrals (6.21) have stationary points defined by the equations

$$N\xi'(x)=k\quad\text{and}\quad N\xi'(x)=-k,$$

respectively. For $|N|$ is large enough, the first (the second) of these equations does not have solutions if $kN<0$ (if $kN>0$), and they have two solutions $\pm x_N$ if $kN>0$ for the first equation (or if $kN<0$ for the second equation). It easily follows from (6.12) that

$$x_N=|N|y_N\quad\text{where}\quad y_N=\pi^{-1}|k|^{-1}n+a_1|N|^{-1}+O(N^{-2}),\quad |N|\to\infty. \quad (6.23)$$

If there are no stationary points, then we have the following result which is a particular case of Lemma 6.2 corresponding to real κ.

Lemma 6.4. *If $|N|\to\infty$ and $\pm Nk<0$, then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-i\xi(x)N\pm ikx}\zeta(x)r_\pm(x,k)dx=O(|N|^{-a}),\quad \forall a<1/2.$$

The asymptotic behavior of the integrals (6.21) is of course determined by neighborhoods of the stationary points only. Let us check this statement. Choose an even function $\chi\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\chi(y)=1$ if $|y|\le\epsilon$ and $\chi(y)=0$ if $|y|\ge 2\epsilon$ for a sufficiently small ϵ (for example, $\epsilon=(2\pi|k|)^{-1}n$) and set

$$\widetilde{\chi}(y)=1-\chi(y-y_N)-\chi(y+y_N).$$

The proof of the following result is very close to that of Lemma 6.2.

Lemma 6.5. *If $|N|\to\infty$ and $\pm Nk>0$, then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-i\xi(x)N\pm ikx}\zeta(x)r_\pm(x,k)\widetilde{\chi}(x/|N|)dx=O(|N|^{-a}),\quad \forall a<1/2. \quad (6.24)$$

Proof. Integrating by parts we see that integral (6.24) equals integral (6.18) where $\kappa = \pm k$ and

$$R(x) = \zeta(x) r_\pm(x,k) \widetilde{\chi}(x/|N|).$$

Since $\widetilde{\chi}(y) = 0$ for $y \in (y_N - \epsilon, y_N + \epsilon)$ and $y \in (-y_N - \epsilon, -y_N + \epsilon)$, in the integral (6.18) we now have either $|x| \le |N|(y_N - \epsilon)$ or $|x| \ge |N|(y_N + \epsilon)$. In the first and second cases $|\pm k - \xi'(x)|N|$ is minorated by $c(1+|x|)^{-1}|N|$ and a positive constant c, respectively. Therefore using relations (6.12) and (6.13) and estimates (6.22), we conclude the proof of (6.24) quite similarly to Lemma 6.2. □

6.4. Finally, we consider neighborhoods of the stationary points y_N defined by (6.23).

Lemma 6.6. *Put*

$$\phi(y,k;N) = -\xi(|N|y) + |k|y. \tag{6.25}$$

Then, as $|N| \to \infty$ *and* $\pm Nk > 0$, *the integral* (6.21) *satisfies the asymptotic relation*

$$\mathcal{I}_{\rm osc}(N,k) = (2n)^{1/2}|k|^{-1}|N|^{1/2} \sum_{\tau = \text{“}\pm\text{”}} e^{\pi i \tau \operatorname{sgn} N/4} e^{iN\phi(\tau y_N, k; N)} \times \zeta(\tau|N|y_N) r_\pm(\tau|N|y_N, k) + O(|N|^{-a}), \quad \forall a < 1/2. \tag{6.26}$$

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 6.4 and 6.5 that

$$\mathcal{I}_{\rm osc}(N,k) = \mathcal{I}_\pm(N,k) + O(|N|^{-a}), \quad \pm Nk > 0, \tag{6.27}$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_\pm(N,k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\xi(x)N \pm ikx} \zeta(x) r_\pm(x,k) \big(\chi(x/|N| - y_N) + \chi(x/|N| + y_N)\big) dx.$$

Let us find the asymptotics of this integral. Making the change of variables $x = |N|y$, we see that

$$\mathcal{I}_\pm(N,k) = |N| \sum_{\tau = \text{“}\pm\text{”}} \mathcal{J}_\pm^{(\tau)}(N,k) \tag{6.28}$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_\pm^{(\tau)}(N,k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iN\phi(y,k;N)} \zeta(|N|y) r_\pm(|N|y,k) \chi(y - \tau y_N) dy.$$

After the change of variables $x = y - \tau y_N$, we find that

$$\mathcal{J}_\pm^{(\tau)}(N,k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iN\phi(x+\tau y_N, k; N)} \zeta(|N|(x+\tau y_N)) r_\pm(|N|(x+\tau y_N), k) \chi(x) dx. \tag{6.29}$$

Let us apply to this integral Lemma A.1 with the functions

$$\omega(x,k;N) = \phi(x + \tau y_N, k) \tag{6.30}$$

and

$$g(x,k;N) = \zeta(|N|(x+\tau y_N)) r_\pm(|N|(x+\tau y_N), k) \chi(x)$$

depending on the parameter N (as well as on τ and k). Since we integrate in (6.29) over $\mathbb{R}$ (instead of $\mathbb{R}_+$ in (A.1)), we have to multiply the right-hand side of (A.2) by

the additional factor 2. Let us check the assumptions of Lemma A.1. Differentiating formula (6.30) p times in x and using (6.25), we see that

$$\omega^{(p)}(x,k;N)=-|N|^p\xi^{(p)}(|N|(x+\tau y_N)),\quad p\geq 2.$$

In particular, according to (6.13), (6.23) we have

$$\omega''(0,k;N)=-N^2\xi''(\tau|N|y_N)=\tau\pi^{-1}ny_N^{-2}+O(|N|^{-1})=\tau\pi k^2n^{-1}+O(|N|^{-1}). \quad (6.31)$$

Moreover, all derivatives of $\omega(x,k;N)$ are uniformly in N bounded for $|x|\leq 2\epsilon$ because $\xi^{(p)}(x)=O(|x|^{-p})$ as $|x|\to\infty$ (see (6.13)). We have

$$g(0,k;N)=\zeta(\tau|N|y_N)r_\pm(\tau|N|y_N,k), \quad (6.32)$$

and it follows from estimates (6.22) that the functions $g^{(p)}(x,k;N)=O(|N|^{-a})$ for $p=0,1$ and arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$. Therefore the remainder $\mathcal{R}_\pm^{(\tau)}(N,k)$ in formula (A.2) for $\mathcal{J}_\pm^{(\tau)}(N,k)$ is bounded by $C|N|^{-3/2+\varepsilon}$. Now (A.2) and equalities (6.31), (6.32) imply that

$$\mathcal{J}_\pm^{(\tau)}(N,k)=(2n)^{1/2}|k|^{-1}|N|^{-1/2}e^{\pi i\tau\operatorname{sgn}N/4}e^{iN\phi(\tau y_N,k;N)}\\ \times\zeta(\tau|N|y_N)r_\pm(\tau|N|y_N,k)+O(|N|^{-1-a}).$$

Substituting this expression into (6.28) and taking into account relation (6.27), we conclude the proof of (6.26). □

It remains to study the right-hand side of (6.26).

Lemma 6.7. *Let the function $\gamma(N,k)$ and the integral $\mathcal{I}(N,k)$ be given by equalities* (6.4) *and* (6.8)*, respectively. Then as $|N|\to\infty$, $\pm Nk>0$, the asymptotic formula*

$$\mathcal{I}(N,k)=\sqrt{\frac{2n}{|k|}}\big(e^{i\gamma(N,k)}r_\pm(+\infty,k)+e^{-i\gamma(N,k)}r_\pm(-\infty,k)\big)(1+O(|N|^{-a})) \quad (6.33)$$

holds with an arbitrary $a<1/2$.

Proof. First, we note that in view of Lemma 6.3 it suffices to prove (6.33) for the integral $\mathcal{I}_{\rm osc}(N,k)$. Therefore we only have to find the asymptotic behavior of the right-hand side of (6.26) as $|N|\to\infty$. We start with the phase $\phi(\pm y_N,k;N)$ given by relation (6.25). Using asymptotic formulas (6.11) and (6.23), we see that

$$\phi(y_N,k;N)=-\phi(-y_N,k;N)=\gamma_0(|N/k|)+a_1|k||N|^{-1}+O(N^{-2}).$$

Next, we consider the function $\zeta(\pm|N|y_N)$ defined by formula (6.7). It follows from (6.14) and (6.23) that its phase

$$\varrho(|N|y_N)=-\varrho(-|N|y_N)=\gamma_1(|N/k|)+O(N^{-1}).$$

The asymptotics of its modulus is a direct consequence of (6.12):

$$\xi'(\pm|N|y_N)=|k/N|^{1/2}\big(1+O(N^{-1})\big).$$

Let us also use that, by definition (2.29), there exists finite limits $r_\pm(+\infty,k)$ and $r_\pm(-\infty,k)$. Substituting the results obtained into the right-hand side of (6.26), we conclude the proof of (6.33). □

Now we are in a position to conclude the *proof* of Theorem 6.1 and hence of Theorem 1.1. We proceed from relation (6.9) and use that $\omega(t,k)=\gamma(\ln t,k)$. So we only have to calculate the limits $r_\pm(+\infty,k)$ and $r_\pm(-\infty,k)$ in the right-hand side of (6.33).

If n is odd, then equality (2.31) ensures that $r_+(x,k)=r(x,k)$ and $r_-(x,k)=0$. According to (2.28) we have $r(-\infty,k)=1$ and according to (2.34) $r(+\infty,k)=s(\lambda)$. This yields assertion (i) of Theorem 6.1.

Let n be even. Recall that, by the definition of the scattering matrix in subs. 2.4, if $k>0$, then $r_+(+\infty,k)=s_{11}(\lambda)$ according to (2.35) and $r_+(-\infty,k)=1$ according to (2.29). Therefore formula (6.33) implies the asymptotic relation (1.8). If $k<0$, then $r_+(+\infty,k)=1$ according to (2.29) and $r_+(-\infty,k)=s_{22}(\lambda)$ according to (2.35). This leads to the asymptotic relation (1.9) and concludes the proof of assertion (ii) of Theorem 6.1. □

7. Large times evolution

Here we discuss the asymptotic behavior of $\exp(-iHT)u$ as $T\to\pm\infty$ for u in the absolutely continuous subspace $\mathcal{H}_H^{(ac)}$ of the generalized Carleman operator H. The construction below is rather similar to the proof of Theorem 6.1, and so we omit some technical details. We have to distinguish the cases of even and odd n.

7.1. It follows from relations (4.4) and (5.7) that

$$\exp(-iHT)u=F^*\mathrm{L}\exp(-i\ BT)\mathrm{L}^*Fu$$

where the asymptotics of $\exp(-i\ BT)$ is given by Theorem 3.5. According to Proposition 2.11 and equality (4.24) the functions Θ and Θ_1, Θ_2 in formulas (3.31) and (3.32) now equal $\beta(x)=-q_{n-1}\xi(x)/n$. *In calculations below, we neglect the terms whose norms in* $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ *tend to zero as* $T\to\pm\infty$.

Suppose first that n is even. Using the definitions (4.3) and (5.6) of the operators L and F and the asymptotic formula (3.31), we see that

$$(\exp(-iHT)u)(t)=|2\pi nT|^{-1/2}e^{\mp\pi i/4}\\ \times\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}t^{-1/2-i\xi}e^{i\eta(\xi)-iq_{n-1}\xi/n}e^{i\Xi_0(x(\xi),T)}x'(\xi)^{1/2}f_\pm(\frac{x(\xi)}{nT})d\xi \quad (7.1)$$

where the phase $\Xi_0(x,T)$ is given by formula (3.27) and

$$f_\pm=YW_\pm(B_0,B;\mathcal{J}^*)\mathrm{L}^*Fu \quad (7.2)$$

with Y defined by (3.28). Our goal is to find the asymptotics as $T\to\pm\infty$ of integral (7.1) in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$; so we may suppose that $f_\pm$ belongs to its dense subset $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\})$.

Let us make the changes of variables $\xi \mapsto x = x(\xi)$ and then $x \mapsto y = x/(nT)$. Using notation (6.2), (6.7), we rewrite (7.1) as

$$(\exp(-iHT)u)(t) = \sqrt{\frac{n|T|}{2\pi t}} e^{\mp\pi i/4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(-\xi(nTy)\ln t + (n-1)|y|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}T)} \zeta(nTy) f_{\pm}(y) dy. \quad (7.3)$$

We can now apply the stationary phase method (see, e.g., Appendix A where the role of T is played by N) with the phase function

$$\omega(y,t,T) = -\xi(nTy)T^{-1}\ln t + (n-1)|y|^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \quad (7.4)$$

depending on the parameters t and T. The stationary points $y = y(t,T)$ of this function are determined by the equation $\omega'_y(y,t,T) = 0$, i.e.,

$$\xi'(nTy)\ln t = |y|^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \operatorname{sgn} y. \quad (7.5)$$

Recall that the function $\xi'(x)$ is even, $\xi'(x) > 0$, and it has asymptotics (6.12) as $x \to +\infty$. Therefore for sufficiently large $|T|$, equation (7.5) has the unique solution and

$$y(t,T) = \operatorname{sgn}(\ln t)\Big|\frac{\ln t}{\pi T}\Big|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}\big(1 + O(|T|^{-1})\big). \quad (7.6)$$

Differentiating (7.4) twice and using asymptotics (6.13), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\omega''_{yy}(y,t,T) =& -n^2\xi''(nTy)T\ln t + n(n-1)^{-1}|y|^{-\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \\ =& n(\ln t/(\pi T))y^{-2}\operatorname{sgn}(Ty)\big(1 + O(|Ty|^{-1})\big) + n(n-1)^{-1}|y|^{-\frac{n-2}{n-1}}\end{aligned}$$

as $|Ty| \to \infty$. Substituting here expression (7.6), we find that

$$\omega''_{yy}(y(t,T),t,T) = n^2(n-1)^{-1}\Big|\frac{\ln t}{\pi T}\Big|^{-\frac{n-2}{n}}\big(1 + O(|T|^{-1})\big) \quad (7.7)$$

provided $T^{-1}\ln t$ belongs to compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$.

Note also that according to (6.7) and (6.12) we have

$$\zeta(nTy(t,T)) = e^{i\varrho(nTy(t,T))}(\pi|T|)^{-1/2}\Big|\frac{\ln t}{\pi T}\Big|^{-(n-1)/(2n)}\big(1 + O(|T|^{-1})\big). \quad (7.8)$$

Thus formula (A.2) yields the following assertion.

Theorem 7.1. *Let H be the Hankel operator* (5.1) *with kernel* (5.2) *where n is even. Let $y(t,T)$ be the (unique) solution of equation* (7.5). *Set*

$$\Phi(t,T) = -\xi(nTy(t,T))\ln t + (n-1)|y(t,T)|^{\frac{n}{n-1}}T + \varrho(nTy(t,T)). \quad (7.9)$$

Then for all $u \in \mathcal{H}_H^{(ac)}$ the asymptotic relation holds

$$\begin{aligned}(\exp(-iHT)u)(t) = e^{i\Phi(t,T)}\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{\pi nt|T|}}\Big|\frac{\ln t}{\pi T}\Big|^{-1/(2n)} \\ \times f_{\pm}\big(\operatorname{sgn}(\ln t)\Big|\frac{\ln t}{\pi T}\Big|^{(n-1)/n}\big) + \epsilon_{\pm}(t,T)\end{aligned}$$

where $f_\pm$ is defined by formula (7.2) *and $\|\epsilon_\pm(T)\| \to 0$ as $T \to \pm\infty$.*

7.2. Let us now briefly consider the case of odd $n > 1$. We proceed again from Theorem 3.5 but use formula (3.32). Therefore instead of (7.3) we have the representation

$$(\exp(-iHT)u)(t) = (n|T|/(2\pi t))^{1/2} \sum_{j=1,2} e^{\mp(-1)^j \pi i/4} \int_0^\infty e^{i\omega_j(y,t,T)T} \zeta(nTy) f_\pm((-1)^j y)dy \tag{7.10}$$

where $T \to \pm\infty$,

$$\omega_1(y,t,T) = -\xi(nTy)T^{-1}\ln t - (n-1)y^{\frac{n}{n-1}}, \quad y > 0,$$

and $\omega_2 = \omega$ is given by formula (7.4) with $y > 0$. The stationary points of the integral in (7.10) for $j = 1$ are determined by the equation $\partial\omega_1(y,t,T)/\partial y = 0$, that is,

$$\xi'(nTy)\ln t = -y^{\frac{1}{n-1}}. \tag{7.11}$$

Let $|T|$ be sufficiently large. Then this equation has no solutions for $t > 1$, and it has the unique solution $y_1(t,T)$ for $t < 1$. In view of (6.12) this solution satisfies the asymptotic relation

$$y_1(t,T) = \Big|\frac{\ln t}{\pi T}\Big|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \big(1 + O(|T|^{-1})\big).$$

Instead of (7.7) we now have

$$\partial^2\omega_1(y_1(t,T),t,T)/\partial y^2 = -n^2(n-1)^{-1}\Big|\frac{\ln t}{\pi T}\Big|^{-\frac{n-2}{n}} \big(1 + O(|T|^{-1})\big).$$

According to formula (7.4) for the function $\omega_2(y,t,T)$, the stationary points of the integral in (7.10) for $j = 2$ are determined by the equation (7.5) where $y > 0$. For $|T|$ sufficiently large, this equation has no solutions for $t < 1$, and it has the unique solution $y_2(t,T)$ for $t > 1$. This solution satisfies the asymptotic relation (7.6) (where $\operatorname{sgn}(\ln t) = 1$). The second derivative of $\omega_2(y,t,T)$ satisfies relation (7.7).

Now using formula (A.2) and taking into account asymptotic relation (7.8) we obtain the following assertion.

Theorem 7.2. *Let H be the Hankel operator* (5.1) *with kernel* (5.2) *where $n > 1$ is odd. Let $y_1(t,T)$ for $t < 1$ and $y_2(t,T) = y(t,T)$ for $t > 1$ be the (unique) solutions of equations* (7.11) *and* (7.5)*, respectively. Put*

$$\Phi_1(t,T) = -\xi(nTy_1(t,T))\ln t - (n-1)y_1(t,T)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}T + \varrho(nTy_1(t,T)), \quad t < 1,$$

and let $\Phi_2(t,T)=\Phi(t,T)$ be defined for $t>1$ by formula (7.9). Then for all $u\in\mathcal{H}_H^{(ac)}$ the asymptotic relation holds

$$(\exp(-iHT)u)(t)=\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{\pi nt|T|}}\,\Big|\frac{\ln t}{\pi T}\Big|^{-1/(2n)}\\ \times\sum_{j=1,2}e^{i\Phi_j(t,T)}\chi_j(t)f_\pm\big((-1)^j\Big|\frac{\ln t}{\pi T}\Big|^{(n-1)/n}\big)+\epsilon_\pm(t,T)$$

where $\chi_1(t)$, $\chi_2(t)$ are the characteristic functions of the intervals $(0,1)$ and $(1,\infty)$, respectively, $f_\pm$ is defined by formula (7.2) and $\|\epsilon_\pm(T)\|\to0$ as $T\to\pm\infty$.

Theorems 7.1 and 7.2 show that as $T\to\pm\infty$ the function $(\exp(-iHT)u)(t)$ "lives" in exponentially small neighborhoods of the singular points $t=0$ and $t=\infty$, that is, in the region where $t\sim e^{\pi|T|}$ or $t\sim e^{-\pi|T|}$. This is quite different from differential operators (1.10) when according to Theorem 3.5 we typically have $|x|\sim n|T|$ for the evolution operator $(\exp(-iBT)f)(x)$.

Appendix A. Stationary phase method

The statement below is quite standard. We give its short proof because apparently explicit estimates of the remainders are not available in the literature.

Lemma A.1. *Let*

$$\mathcal{J}(N)=\int_0^\infty e^{iN\omega(y)}g(y)dy \tag{A.1}$$

where $\omega=\bar\omega\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\omega'(0)=0$ and $g\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose that $g(y)=0$ if $y\ge a$ for some $a>0$ and $\omega''(y)\ne0$ for $y\in[0,a]$. Put

$$g_n=\max_{y\in[0,a]}|g^{(n)}(y)|,\quad \omega_n=\max_{y\in[0,a]}|\omega^{(n)}(y)|,\quad \kappa=\min_{y\in[0,a]}|\omega''(y)|.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{J}(N)=2^{-1}e^{i\tau\pi/4+iN\omega(0)}(2\pi)^{1/2}|\omega''(0)N|^{-1/2}g(0)+\mathcal{R}(N),\quad |N|\to\infty, \tag{A.2}$$

where $\tau=\operatorname{sgn}(\omega''(0)N)$ and

$$|\mathcal{R}(N)|\le C(\kappa^{-7/2}\omega_2^{3/2}\omega_3g_0+\kappa^{-2}\omega_2g_1)|N|^{-1}\big(1+\big|\ln|\omega_0N|\big|\big) \tag{A.3}$$

with some absolute constant C.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\omega(0)=0$ and $\omega''(0)>0$. Let us make the change of variables $x=\omega(y)$ in (A.1). Then

$$\mathcal{J}(N)=\int_0^\infty e^{iNx}\mathbf{g}(x)x^{-1/2}dx \tag{A.4}$$

where

$$\mathbf{g}(x)=\sigma(\eta(x))g(\eta(x)), \tag{A.5}$$

$$\sigma(y) = \omega(y)^{1/2}\omega'(y)^{-1} \tag{A.6}$$

and $\eta(x)$ is the function inverse to $\omega(y)$. Integrating in (A.4) by parts, we see that

$$\mathcal{J}(N) = \mathbf{g}(0)\int_0^\infty e^{iNy}y^{-1/2}dy + \int_0^\infty \big(\int_x^\infty e^{iNy}y^{-1/2}dy\big)\mathbf{g}'(x)dx. \tag{A.7}$$

According to (A.5) we have

$$\mathbf{g}(0) = g(0)\sqrt{\lim_{y\to 0}\omega(y)\omega'(y)^{-2}} = g(0)(2\omega''(0))^{-1/2},$$

and hence the first terms in the right-hand sides of (A.2) and (A.7) coincide.

Let $\mathcal{R}(N)$ be the second term in the right-hand side of (A.7). Since

$$\big|\int_x^\infty e^{iNy}y^{-1/2}dy\big| \le C|N|^{-1/2}(1+|N|x)^{-1/2},$$

we get the estimate

$$|\mathcal{R}(N)| \le C|N|^{-1/2}\int_0^{\omega_0} |\mathbf{g}'(x)|(1+|N|x)^{-1/2}dx. \tag{A.8}$$

According to (A.6) we have $\eta'(x) = \omega'(y)^{-1} = \sigma(y)x^{-1/2}$. Therefore differentiating (A.5), we see that

$$\mathbf{g}'(x) = (\sigma'(y)g(y) + \sigma(y)g'(y))\sigma(y)x^{-1/2}, \quad y = \eta(x). \tag{A.9}$$

Observe that

$$|\omega(y)| \le \omega_2 y^2/2, \quad \omega'(y) = \int_0^y \omega''(x)dx \ge \kappa y, \quad \omega(y) = \int_0^y \omega'(x)dx \ge \kappa y^2/2, \tag{A.10}$$

and hence, by definition (A.6),

$$|\sigma(y)| \le (\omega_2/2)^{1/2}\kappa^{-1}. \tag{A.11}$$

Note that

$$\sigma'(y) = \frac{\omega'(y)^2 - 2\omega(y)\omega''(y)}{2\omega(y)^{1/2}\omega'(y)^2}. \tag{A.12}$$

It follows from the first estimate (A.10) that $((\omega')^2 - 2\omega\omega'')' = -2\omega\omega'''$ is bounded by $\omega_2\omega_3 y^2$, and so the numerator in (A.12) is bounded by $\omega_2\omega_3 y^3/3$. A lower bound by $2^{1/2}\kappa^{5/2}y^3$ on the denominator in (A.12) follows from the second and third estimates (A.10) whence

$$|\sigma'(y)| \le 2^{-1/2}3^{-1}\kappa^{-5/2}\omega_2\omega_3. \tag{A.13}$$

Let us come back to function (A.9). Combining (A.11) and (A.13), we get the bound

$$|\mathbf{g}'(x)| \le C(\kappa^{-7/2}\omega_2^{3/2}\omega_3 g_0 + \kappa^{-2}\omega_2 g_1)x^{-1/2}.$$

In view of (A.8), this yields (A.3). □

References

[1] S. Agmon, *Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and scattering theory*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (IV) **2** no. 4 (1975), 151-218.

[2] W. O. Amrein, A. Boutet de Monvel and V. Georgescu, *C_0-groups, commutator methods and spectral theory for N-body Hamiltonians*, Progress in Math. Physics. Press, **135**, Birkhäuser, 1996.

[3] R. Beals, P. Deift and C. Tomei, *Direct and inverse scattering on the line*, Math. surveys and monographs, N 28, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1988.

[4] T. Carleman, *Sur les équations intégrales singulières à noyau réel et symétrique*, Almqvist and Wiksell, 1923.

[5] E. A. Coddington and N. Levinson, *Theory of ordinary differential equations*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955.

[6] H. Cycon, R. Froese, W. Kirsch and B. Simon, *Schrödinger operators*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1987.

[7] L. D. Faddeev, *Properties of the S-matrix of the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (Ser.2) **65** (1967), 139-166.

[8] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators*, vol. III, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1985.

[9] J. S. Howland, *Spectral theory of operators of Hankel type. I, II*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **41** (1992), no. 2, 409–426 and 427–434.

[10] V. Kostrykin and K. Makarov, *On Krein's example*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), no. 6, 2067–2071.

[11] W. Magnus, *On the spectrum of Hilbert's matrix*, Amer. J. Math. **72** (1950), 405-412.

[12] A. V. Megretskii, V. V. Peller, and S. R. Treil, *The inverse spectral problem for self-adjoint Hankel operators*, Acta Math. **174** (1995), 241-309.

[13] F. G. Mehler, *Über eine mit den Kugel- und Cylinderfunctionen verwandte Function und ihre Anwendung in der Theorie der Elektricitätsvertheilung*, Math. Ann. **18** (1881), 161-194.

[14] E. Mourre, *Absence of singular spectrum for certain self-adjoint operators*, Comm. Math. Phys. **78** (1981), 391-400.

[15] M. A. Naimark, *Linear differential operators*, Ungar, New York, 1967.

[16] N. K. Nikolski, *Operators, functions, and systems: an easy reading*, vol. I: Hardy, Hankel, and Toeplitz, Math. Surveys and Monographs vol. 92, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 2002.

[17] V. V. Peller, *Hankel operators and their applications*, Springer Verlag, 2002.

[18] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics*, vol. 4, Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1978.

[19] M. Rosenblum, *On the Hilbert matrix*, I, II, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 137-140, 581-585.

[20] M. A. Shubin, *Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1987.

[21] D. R. Yafaev, *Mathematical scattering theory, General theory*, Transl. Math. Monographs **105**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1992.

[22] D. R. Yafaev, *The scattering amplitude for the Schrödinger equation with a long-range potential*, Comm. Math. Phys. **191** (1998), 183-218.

[23] D. R. Yafaev, *A class of pseudo-differential operators with oscillating symbols*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **11** (2000), 375-403.

[24] D. R. Yafaev, *Spectral and scattering theory of fourth order differential operators*, Adv. Math. Sciences, Amer. Math. Soc., **225** (2008), 265-299.

[25] D. R. Yafaev, *A commutator method for the diagonalization of Hankel operators*, Funct. Anal. and Appl. **44** (2010), 295-306.

[26] D. R. Yafaev, *Mathematical scattering theory, Analytic theory*, Math. Surveys and Monographs **158**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 2010.
[27] D. R. Yafaev, *Spectral and scattering theory for perturbations of the Carleman operator*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **25** (2014), 339-359.
[28] D. R. Yafaev, *Diagonalizations of two classes of unbounded Hankel operators*, Bulletin Math. Sciences **4** (2014), 175-198.
[29] D. R. Yafaev, *Criteria for Hankel operators to be sign-definite*, Analysis & PDE **8** (2015), 183-221.
[30] D. R. Yafaev, *Quasi-Carleman operators and their spectral properties*, Integral Eq. Op. Th. **81** (2015), 499-534.
[31] D. R. Yafaev, *Quasi-diagonalization of Hankel operators,* preprint, arXiv:1403.3941(2014); to appear in J. d'Analyse Mathématique.

IRMAR, Université de Rennes I, Campus de Beaulieu, 35042 Rennes Cedex, FRANCE
E-mail address: yafaev@univ-rennes1.fr